

Rio Tinto Iron Ore Cape Lambert Port B Development 2014 Compliance Assessment EPBC 2008/4032

RTIO-HSE-0265603

Copyright Statement

© 2015 Rio Tinto

0	Final	M Buck	L Turner	M Buck	S Kennedy	23/09/2015
A	Internal Draft	T Jess	M Buck			
Rev	Description	Author	Checked	Approved	Authorised	Date

Table of Contents

Definitions.....	i
1 Project Overview.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Legislative Context	1
2 Purpose of this Document.....	2
2.1 Objective.....	2
2.2 Scope.....	2
3 Compliance Assessment Results	2
4 Public Availability of Compliance Assessment	3
5 Future Compliance Assessments	3

Tables

Table 1 CLB Key Infrastructure Summary	1
Table 2 2014 Non-compliances and Corrective Actions.....	2
Table 3 EPBC 2008/4032 2014 Compliance Assessment.....	4
Table 4 Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan Compliance Assessment.....	13
Table 5 Marine Turtle Management Plan Compliance Assessment.....	16
Table 6 Cetacean Management Plan Compliance Assessment	21

Definitions

Term	Meaning
2014 Reporting Period	1 January 2014 to 31 December 2014.
CLB	Cape Lambert Port B.
CMP	Cetacean Management Plan.
Compliance Assessment	A systematic assessment undertaken by a qualified person(s) to determine the compliance of a company in relation to a particular audit protocol.
Compliant	The Proponent has complied with the condition stipulated in EPBC 2008/4032.
Complete	The Proponent has completed the condition stipulated in EPBC 2008/4032.
DoE	Commonwealth Department of the Environment.
DSDMP	Dredging and Spoil and Disposal Management Plan.
DSEWPaC	Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.
MTMP	Marine Turtle Management Plan.
PER	Public Environmental Review and draft Public Environment Report.
Not applicable	A condition within EPBC2008/4032 which is not yet applicable or had not yet been triggered at the time of the compliance assessment.
Non-compliant	Failure to comply with the requirements of a condition within EPBC 2008/4032.
OEPA	Western Australian Office of the Environmental Protection Authority.
In Process	The Proponent has partially met the requirements of a condition within EPBC 2008/4032; however, there are ongoing requirements still associated with that condition.
Potentially Non-compliant	The Proponent is potentially non-compliant; however, further clarification is required before the level of compliance is definitively determined.

1 Project Overview

1.1 Background

Robe River Co. Pty. Ltd., a member of the Rio Tinto Pty Ltd (Rio Tinto) group of companies, is constructing a second port facility (Port B) at Cape Lambert, located approximately 5 km west of Point Samson on the Pilbara coast, Western Australia. The Cape Lambert Port B (CLB) project scope broadly includes the construction of a rail track network, ore stockyards, ore delivery systems, an access jetty and wharf and various associated ancillaries. The project also includes a large dredging scope to establish a departure channel, turning basins and berth pockets to facilitate access for bulk ore carriers. Once complete, CLB will be capable of exporting up to 130 million tonnes of iron ore per annum.

CLB is being constructed and commissioned in two phases, namely, A and B. Construction and commissioning of Phase A is complete, whilst Phase B is currently in the final stages of commissioning. A breakdown of key infrastructure associated with each phase of the project is available within Table 1 below.

Table 1 CLB Key Infrastructure Summary

Description	Phase A	Phase B
Car Dumpers (2 car)	Car Dumper 5	Car Dumpers 6 and 7
Stockyard	12 stockpiles	12 stockpiles
Stackers	Stacker 11 and 12	Stacker 13 and 14
Reclaimers	Reclaimer 11 and 12	Reclaimer 13
Screen Houses / Surge Bins	Screenhouse 5	Screenhouse 6
Ship Loaders	Shiploader 11	Shiploader 12

1.2 Legislative Context

The CLB development was referred to the Federal government on 12 February 2008 in accordance with the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). On 25 March 2008 the Federal government determined that the proposal was a 'controlled action' based on potential impacts to matters of National Environmental Significance (NES) protected by the EPBC Act, including:

- listed threatened species and communities (Section 18);
- listed migratory species (Section 20, 20A and 18A); and
- Commonwealth marine areas (Section 23 and 24A).

The CLB development was assessed by the Federal government as a Public Environmental Review and draft Public Environment Report (PER) in March 2009 and was subsequently approved on 26 October 2010 pursuant to EPBC 2008/4032. EPBC 2008/4032 requires the development/implementation of a Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan (DSDMP), Cetacean Management Plan (CMP), Marine Turtle Management Plan (MTMP), Blasting Management Plan and Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Program (ERMP) to manage the project's critical risks, and also includes a series of other general management requirements.

The project's dredging scope was approved under the *Environment Protection (Sea Dumping) Act 1981* on 26 October 2010 pursuant to SD2008/822.

2 Purpose of this Document

2.1 Objective

Condition 18 of EPBC 2008/4032 requires Rio Tinto to provide compliance assessments to the Department of the Environment (DoE) on an annual basis. Condition 18 specifically states:

18. Each year the person taking the action must provide a report to the Minister addressing compliance with each of the conditions of this approval. The date of the first compliance report must be within twelve months from the date of this approval with each subsequent report 12 months from the date of the previous report. The Compliance report must:

- a) Be endorsed by Pilbara Iron Pty Ltd or a person approved in writing by the Department, who is delegated to sign on behalf of Pilbara Iron Pty Ltd;*
- b) Include a statement as to whether the person taking the action has complied with the conditions;*
- c) Identify any non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken; and*
- d) Make the compliance report publicly available on the Internet within 30 days of it being submitted to the Minister.*

The intent of this document is to fulfil this requirement for the period 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2014 (referred to as the '2014 reporting period' herein).

2.2 Scope

This document assesses compliance against the requirements of EPBC 2008/4032 for the 2014 reporting period. CLB Phase A construction activities (including key ancillary scopes such as dredging and pile driving works) were completed by July 2013 and consequently, have not been assessed within this report. Similarly, SD2008/822 expired on 26 October 2013 and all dredging/post-dredging requirements have been reported on previously. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.

3 Compliance Assessment Results

There were three minor non-compliances during the 2014 reporting period, as detailed within Table 2 below. All non-compliances were administrative in nature and did not result in any environmental harm. The DoE have been previously advised of these non-compliances (RTIO-HSE-0230985), and the proposed corrective actions will be implemented in due course in consultation with the DoE.

Table 2 2014 Non-compliances and Corrective Actions

Criteria	Compliance Finding	Corrective Action
EPBC 2008/4032 Condition 21	The ERMP was not made publically available on the Rio Tinto Website within 30 days of the Minister's approval, as required by the condition.	Rio Tinto has placed the ERMP on www.riotinto.com , as required.
EPBC 2008/4032 Condition 22(a)	The ERMP, whilst approved by the Minister, does not contain a description of the EPBC Act listed species or habitats, as required by the condition.	Rio Tinto will revise the ERMP to include a description of the EPBC Act listed species.
EPBC 2008/4032 Condition 22(b)	The ERMP, whilst approved by the Minister, does not contain an assessment of the risk to the values, species or habitats as relevant to the EPBC Act, as required by the condition.	Rio Tinto will revise the ERMP to include an assessment of the risk to the values, species or habitats as relevant to the EPBC Act.

All other requirements were met and confirm that the CLB development is being constructed in accordance with the various environmental management requirements stipulated within its Federal Government approvals and associated management plans, and that implementation of the works have not resulted in any measurable impacts to matters of NES.

All supporting documentation has been lodged in Rio Tinto Iron Ore's Document Management System and is available upon request.

4 Public Availability of Compliance Assessment

This compliance assessment will be made publicly available on the Rio Tinto Iron Ore website (<http://www.riotinto.com>), in accordance with Condition 18 (d) of EPCB 2008/4032.

5 Future Compliance Assessments

Future compliance assessments will continue to be submitted annually and will assess implementation of EPBC 2008/4032 during the previous calendar year. The next compliance assessment will assess the period 1 January 2015 to 31 December 2015 and will be submitted prior to 26 October 2016.

The 2015 compliance assessment will assess all relevant conditions within EPBC 2008/4032. Items which have been marked as complete within previous compliance assessments will not be reassessed to avoid duplication. Items completed during the 2014 reporting period have been marked as "complete" and highlighted green within the respective assessment table.

Table 3 EPBC 2008/4032 2014 Compliance Assessment

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
Sea Dumping Permit							
2008/4032: M1	The person taking the action must comply with the requirements of any permit obtained under the Commonwealth <i>Environmental Protection (Sea Dumping) Act 1981</i> , including any conditions attached.	Obtain Sea Dumping Permit for CLB dredging activities and comply with all the conditions within.	<p>A Sea Dumping Permit (SD2008/822) was obtained for the works, as required.</p> <p>This permit expired on 26 October 2013 and consequently, is not applicable to this reporting period.</p>	Minister for DoE.	Pre-construction, Construction.	Prior to the commencement of, and during, dredging activities.	Compliant.
Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan							
2008/4032:M2	<p>To mitigate the impacts from the dredging activities on EPBC Act listed threatened and migratory species and the Commonwealth marine area, the person taking the action must prepare and submit to the Minister for approval a Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan (DSDMP). The plan must include the following:</p> <p>a) Measures (for example mitigation measures, performance indicators/trigger levels and corrective actions/management actions) that will ensure there are no unacceptable impacts on EPBC Act listed threatened species, migratory species and/or the Commonwealth marine area. These must include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Operating procedures to minimise injury to, or mortality of, EPBC Act listed threatened or migratory species from dredging activities; Reporting mechanisms that ensure reporting to the Minister within one business day of injury to, or mortality of, and EPBC Act listed threatened or migratory species caused by dredging activities; Management triggers and contingency measures when dredging must be varied or suspended, including requirements to report to the Minister; 	Prepare and submit DSDMP to the Minister for approval.	Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Development Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0102815).	Minister for DoE.	Pre-construction.	Prior to the commencement of dredging activities.	Complete.

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures that minimise the risk of introduced marine pest species, including ballast-water management and vessel inspections for any non-domestic vessels; Measures to prevent and respond to accidental fuel, oil or chemical spills; Measures that ensure dredging activities do not impact corals during coral spawning periods, including suspension of dredging activities; Measures to monitor water quality, turbidity plume and coral health, including dredging exclusion periods and management triggers; Responsive actions that must be undertaken in the event contingency measures are employed, including requirement to report to the Minister; and Reactive and post dredge monitoring, including reporting timeframes. <p>b) Details of responsible parties for each activity described in the DSDMP, noting that the person to whom this approval is granted is responsible for the proposed action; and</p> <p>c) Measures that ensure periodic reviews of the DSDMP and that ensure continual improvement measures are applied accordingly. This condition does not remove the requirement under Condition 20.</p>						
2008/4032:M3.1	Dredging activities must not commence until the DSDMP has been approved by the Minister in writing.	Prepare and submit DSDMP to the Minister for approval.	Refer to the Minister's approval letter for the DSDMP (RTIO-HSE-0103134).	Minister for DoE.	Pre-construction.	Prior to the commencement of dredging activities.	Complete.
2008/4032:M3.2	The approved DSDMP must be implemented.	Implement the approved DSDMP.	Refer to Table 4 below.	Minister for DoE.	Construction.	During dredging activities.	Compliant / Complete.
Cetacean Management Plan							
2008/4032:M4	To mitigate the impacts on cetaceans from the dredging activities, construction activities and the ongoing operation of the Cape Lambert Port, the person taking the action must prepare and submit to the Minister for approval a Cetacean Management Plan (CMP). The Plan	Prepare and submit CMP to the Minister for approval.	Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Development Cetacean Management Plan (RTIO-	Minister for DoE.	Pre-construction.	Prior to the commencement of marine construction	Complete.

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
	<p>must include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) measures to prevent vessel strike during dredging activities, construction activities and ongoing operation of the Cape Lambert Port; b) measures to prevent any potential impact from underwater noise during construction; c) monitoring and exclusion zones during dredging and noise emitting construction activities, including pile driving; d) details of the responsible parties for each activity described in the CMP, noting that the person to whom this approval is granted is responsible for the proposed action and the reporting requirements of the responsible parties; and e) measures that ensure periodic reviews of the CMP and that ensure continual improvement measures applied accordingly. This condition does not remove the requirement under Condition 20. 		HSE-0102816).			activities.	
2008/4032:M5.1	Dredging activities must not commence until the CMP has been approved by the Minister in writing.	Prepare and submit CMP to the Minister for approval.	Refer to the Minister's approval of the Cetacean Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0103134).	Minister for DoE.	Pre-construction.	Prior to the commencement of dredging activities.	Complete.
2008/4032:M5.2	The approved CMP must be implemented	Implement the approved CMP.	Refer to Table 6 below.	Minister for DoE.	Construction.	During marine construction activities.	Complete.
Marine Turtle Management Plan							
2008/4032:M6	<p>To mitigate the impacts from dredging activities on marine turtles, during construction and ongoing operation of the Cape Lambert Port facilities, the person taking the action must prepare and submit to the minister for approval a Marine Turtle Management Plan (MTMP). The plan must include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) measures for the protection of marine turtles during pile driving activities, including soft start up procedures, monitoring for the presence of marine turtles and exclusion zones; b) mitigation measures for light spill management and reduction, including, but not limited to, the lighting 	Prepare and submit MTMP to the Minister for approval.	Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Development Marine Turtle Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0193896).	Minister for DoE.	Pre-construction.	Prior to the commencement of marine construction activities.	Complete.

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
	<p>sources, lighting intensity, directionality and shrouding;</p> <p>c) turtle behaviour monitoring programs including adult nesting activity at Bells Beach and Cooling Water Beach, relative to other sites in the region, including hatchling dispersal (onshore and offshore);</p> <p>d) long term population monitoring programs at Bells Beach and Cooling Water Beach;</p> <p>e) a monitoring program to measure the magnitude of pile driving impacts effects on nest sites at Cooling Water Beach;</p> <p>f) a nest relocation programme for Cooling Water Beach in the event that monitoring indicates a significant decline in nest success relative to other beaches;</p> <p>g) monitoring programs for the stability and vegetation cover of the Bells Beach primary dune, including responsive actions in the event that monitoring data indicates that landform stability is being reduced as a result of erosion, vegetation cover reduction or other processes;</p> <p>h) measures for reporting incidents involving marine turtles to the Department throughout the life of port operations;</p> <p>i) details of responsible parties for each activity described in the MTMP, noting that the person whom this approval is granted is responsible for the proposed action, and the reporting requirements of the responsible parties; and</p> <p>j) measures that ensure periodic review of the MTMP and that ensure continual improvement measures applied accordingly. This condition does not remove the requirement under Condition 20.</p>						
2008/4032:M7.1	Dredging activities must not commence until the MTMP has been approved by the Minister in writing.	Prepare and submit MTMP to the Minister for approval.	<p>Refer to the Minister's approval letter dated 30/11/2010 for the Marine Turtle Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0103134).</p> <p>Refer to the CLB daily dredge reports (RTIO-HSE-0159562), which confirm that dredging activities commenced</p>	Minister for DoE.	Pre-construction.	Prior to the commencement of dredging activities.	Complete.

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
			22/12/2010.				
2008/4032:M7.2	The approved MTMP must be implemented.	Implement the approved MTMP.	Refer to Table 5 below.	Minister for DoE.	Construction.	During marine construction activities.	Compliant.
Blasting Management Plan							
No marine drilling or blasting occurred during this reporting period, nor are any such activities required going forward. Consequently, conditions 8 and 9 of EPBC 2008/4032 are considered to be complete.							Complete.
Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Program							
2008/4032:M10	<p>The person taking the action must develop and implement an Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Program (ERMP) to acquire a detailed ecological understanding of the marine environment of the Cape Lambert region, that can be used to monitor, manage and/or improve the regional marine environment. The Plan must include monitoring programs for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Coastal processes including beach degradation; b) Condition of listed and threatened migratory species populations, associated habitat and the Commonwealth marine areas; c) Behaviour of listed threatened and migratory species, including but not limited to, cetaceans and marine turtles. This should include monitoring of potential important habitats, including resting areas; d) Water quality; e) Benthic habitat, including but not limited to, coral, seagrass, mangrove; and f) Implementation and reporting timeframes for each of the actions described above. 	Prepare and implement ERMP in accordance with M10.	Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Development Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Program (RTIO-HSE-0111471).	Minister for DoE.	Overall.	26 April 2011.	Complete.
2008/4032:M11	The ERMP must be submitted to the Minister for approval no later than six months from the date of this approval.	Submit ERMP to the Minister for approval.	Refer to the Ecological Research and Monitoring Program submission dated April 15, 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0111471).	Minister for DoE.	Construction.	26 April 2011.	Complete.
2008/4032:M12	After 12 months from the date of this approval, dredging activities must not thereafter be undertaken unless the Minister has approved the ERMP in writing. The approved ERMP must be implemented.	Submit ERMP to Minister for approval.	Refer to the Minister's approval letter for the Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Program	Minister for DoE.	Construction.	26 October 2011.	Complete.

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
			(RTIO-HSE-0126879).				
2008/4032:M13	The results of the ERMP must be used to inform an adaptive management response to observed impacts and/or any potential impacts identified, and to inform the continuous improvement of the management measures within the MTMP, CMP and BMP (if required).	Create adaptive management response informed by ERMP results, if required.	ERMP programs were still being implemented during this reporting period and consequently, no adaptive management responses were developed.	Minister for DoE.	Construction.	As required.	Compliant.
Conditions – Other							
2008/4032:M14	The person taking the action must ensure that all relevant staff and contractors and any other persons working on the action receive comprehensive training in relation to the requirements of this decision and comply with all requirements of this decision relevant to their duties prior to commencing action on the project.	Provide comprehensive training/inductions as per M14.	Refer to the CLB EMP Training presentations (RTIO-HSE-0159769), CLB Specialised Environmental Training Presentations (RTIO-HSE-0159770), CLB Environmental Training Records (RTIO-HSE-0159772) and the CLB Environmental Induction (RTIO-HSE-0159773). Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Environmental Induction Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management (RTIO-HSE-0098862). Refer to the Marine Mammal Observer Training Presentation (RTIO-HSE-0107426).	Minister for DoE.	Overall.		Compliant.
2008/4032:M15	Upon the direction of the Department, the person taking the action must ensure that an independent audit of compliance with the conditions of approval is conducted and a report submitted to the Department. The independent auditor must be approved by the Department prior to the commencement of the audit. Audit criteria must be agreed by the Department and the audit report must address the criteria to the satisfaction of the Department.	Undertake an independent compliance audit in accordance M15.	On 20 September 2013 the DoE requested an independent audit of EPBC 2008/4032 (RTIO-HSE-0198484) BMT Oceanica were approved by the DoE as the independent auditor on 25 November 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0204637), their audit criteria were subsequently approved on 13 January 2014 (RTIO-HSE-0207911) and the	Minister for DoE.	Overall.	Upon direction of the Department.	Compliant.

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
			audit was finalised in February 2014 (RTIO-HSE-0212795). Three administrative non-compliances were identified within the audit, as summarised within Section 3 of this document.				
2008/4032:M16	If, at any time after two years from the date of this approval, the Minister notifies the person taking the action that the Minister is not satisfied that there has been substantial commencement of the action, the action must not thereafter be commenced without written agreement of the Minister.	Minister notifies Rio Tinto.	The DoE have been notified in writing that the project has substantially commenced (RTIO-HSE-0104803).	Minister for DoE.	At any time after two years from the approval date of EPBC 2008/4032.	If the Minister is not satisfied.	Complete.
2008/4032:M17	Within 10 days of commencement of the actions, the person taking the action must advise the Minister in writing of the actual date of commencement.	Provide, in writing, the commencement date to the Minister.	Refer to the written notification (RTIO-HSE-0104803).	Minister for DoE.	Construction.	Within 10 days of the commencement of construction	Complete.
2008/4032:M18	Each year the person taking the action must provide a report to the Minister addressing compliance with each of the conditions of this approval. The date of the first compliance report must be within twelve months from the date of the previous report. The Compliance report must: a) be endorsed by Pilbara Iron Pty Ltd or a person approved in writing by the Department, who is delegated to sign on behalf of Pilbara Iron Pty Ltd; b) include a statement as to whether the person taking the action has complied with the conditions; c) identify any non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken; and d) make the compliance report publically available on the Internet within 30 days of it being submitted to the Minister.	Submission of a compliance assessment report in accordance with the requirements of M18.	Refer to the 2010 (RTIO-HSE-0125435), 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590) and 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765), 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) and 2014 (this document) compliance assessments.	Minister for DoE.	Overall.	Annually.	Compliant.
2008/4032:M19	The Reports described at Condition 18 must be provided until the expiry of this approval.	Submission of a compliance assessment report in accordance with the requirements of M18.	Refer to the 2010 (RTIO-HSE-0125435), 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590) and 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765), 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) and 2014 (this document)	Minister for DoE.	Overall.	Annually.	Compliant.

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
			compliance assessments.				
2008/4032:M20	If the person taking the action wishes to carry out any activity otherwise than in accordance with the DSDMP, CMP, MTMP, BMP and ERMP, referred to in conditions 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10, respectively, the person taking the action must submit for the Minister's approval a revised version of the relevant plan or program (however described). The varied activity shall not commence until the Minister has approved the varied plan or program in writing. If the Minister approves such a revised plan or program, the plan or program must be implemented in a place of the plan or program originally approved.	Submission of a revised version of the relevant plan or program.	No management plans were revised during this reporting period.	Minister for DoE.	Overall.	When required.	Not applicable.
2008/4032:M21	Management plans, reports, systems and programs (however described) referred to in these conditions of approval must be made publicly available within 30 days of approval by the Minister.	Upload approved management plans onto Rio Tinto website.	The ERMP was not available on the Rio Tinto Website when EPBC 2008/4032 was independently audited during this reporting period (refer to 2008/4032:M15 for further details). This non-compliance was immediately rectified and the ERMP is currently available.	DoE.	Overall.	Within 30 days of approval by Minister.	Non-compliant.
2008/4032:M22	All plans, reports or programs (however described) required under this approval must include the following elements: a) a description of the EPBC Act listed species and habitat likely to be impacted by the components of the action which are the subject of that plan, report or program (however described); b) an assessment of the risk to these values, species or habitats, from the components of the action that are the subject of or relevant to that plan, report or program (however described); c) details of the management measures proposed in relation to these values, species or habitats, if it is a requirement of the condition requiring that plan, report or program (however described); d) details of monitoring proposed for that species if it is a requirement of the condition requiring that plan, report or program (however described);	Include the requirements of M22 in all relevant plans, reports or programs.	Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Development Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Program (RTIO-HSE-0111471), Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0102815), Cetacean Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0102816) and the Marine Turtle Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0193896). All plans have been approved by the Minister. Despite this approval, the ERMP does not contain a description of the EPBC	Minister for DoE.	Overall.		Non-compliant.

Audit Code	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Phase	When	Status
	<p>e) performance standards in relation to that species if it is a requirement of the condition requirement that plan, report or program (however described);</p> <p>f) management triggers in relation to that species if it is a requirement of the condition requiring that plan, report or program (however described).</p>		Act listed species or habitats or a risk assessment and consequently, does not meet the requirements of sections (a) and (b) of Condition 22.				
2008/4032:M23	The person taking the action must maintain accurate records of activities associated with or relevant to the above conditions of approval, and make them available on request by the Department. Such documents may be subject to audit by the Department and used to verify compliance with the conditions of approval.	Maintain accurate records of activities.	<p>All records are maintained on the Rio Tinto Iron Ore Document Management System, as required.</p> <p>No requests for documents were made by the Department during this reporting period.</p>	DoE.	Overall.	Upon request from the DSEWPaC.	Compliant.
2008/4032:M24	If the Minister believes that it is necessary or desirable for the better protection of any relevant control provision to do so, the Minister may request that the person taking the action make specified revisions to any plans, reports or programs (however described) approved pursuant to these conditions. The person taking the action must comply with any such request. The revised plans, reports or programs (however described) must be implemented.	Make specified revisions to plans, reports or programs as requested.	No revisions to plans, reports or programs were requested during this reporting period.	Minister for DoE.	Overall.	Upon request from the DSEWPaC.	Not applicable.

Table 4 Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan Compliance Assessment

Audit Code	Action	When	Evidence	Status
4. Management Strategies: 4.2 Strategy 1 – Water Quality, Sedimentation and Benthic Primary Producer Habitat 4.3 Strategy 2 – Coral Spawning Management 4.4 Strategy 3 – Marine Mammals and Turtles Management 4.5 Strategy 4 – Invasive Marine Species Management 4.6 Strategy 5 – Marine Drilling and Blasting Management 4.7 Strategy 6 – Spoil Ground Management 4.8 Strategy 7 – Hydrocarbon and Chemical Management 4.9 Strategy 8 – Waste Management 4.10 Strategy 9 - Vessel Management				
The CLB dredging scope was completed in September 2012. All management strategies stipulated within Section 4 of the DSDMP were to be implemented during dredging activities only and consequently, are not applicable to this reporting period. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.				Complete.
5. Monitoring Programs, Surveys and Inspections: 5.2 Water Quality Monitoring 5.3 Coral Health Monitoring				
The CLB dredging scope was completed in September 2012. The monitoring activities stipulated within sections 5.2 and 5.3 of the DSDMP were required to be implemented during or within 2 months post completion of dredging works and consequently, were not applicable during this reporting period. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.				Complete.
5.4 Benthic Primary Producer Habitat Monitoring				
DSDMP 5.4	<p>A pre-dredging BPPH survey has been undertaken and post-dredging BPPH surveys will be undertaken to assess and evaluate compliance with Conditions 8-1 and 8-10 of the Ministerial Statement 840.</p> <p>Condition 8-1 - The Proponent shall ensure that the implementation of the proposal does not cause a direct loss of Benthic Primary Producer Habitat in excess of 0.7 ha.</p> <p>Condition 8-10 – At 6 months and 18 months from completion of construction the Proponent shall report to the CEO the permanent loss of Benthic Primary Producer Habitat and any loss of Benthic Primary Producer (BPP) Communities within the six local assessment units shown on Figure 4b and 4c. The reports shall include co-ordinates and a map showing the areas of loss of BPPH and BPP Communities caused by the proposal and the results of water quality monitoring correlated with coral health, to the requirements of the CEO.</p> <p>To demonstrate compliance with the Ministerial Conditions, the data from the pre- and post-dredging BPPH surveys will be compared to determine if any change in BPP communities has occurred, and to evaluate whether any change can be attributed to dredging and spoil disposal activities.</p>	6 and 18 months post dredging	Refer to the six (RTIO-HSE-0187546) and 18 month (RTIO-HSE-0229378) post dredging BPPH surveys.	Complete.
DSDMP 5.4.1	<p>The pre-dredging BPPH monitoring used towed video methods to collect baseline data along replicate transects within potential impact and reference sites to quantify the areal extent of BPPH and composition and percent cover of BPP communities (coral, macro algae and turf algae) within the 6 local assessment units. The post-dredging BPPH monitoring will use the same methods to repeat the sampling so that pre- and post-dredging percent data can be analysed to evaluate whether there has been any change in BPPH areal extent and BPP community composition and/or percent cover. If a change is detected, data from a gradient of sites at varying distances from dredging activities will be used to infer whether this change was likely to be attributable to dredging and/or spoil disposal activities. In addition, data from the water quality and reactive coral health monitoring programs will also be used to assist in the interpretation of whether any detected changes in BPPH or BPP communities can be attributed to dredging and/or spoil disposal activities.</p>	6 and 18 months post dredging	<p>Refer to the six (RTIO-HSE-0187546) and 18 month (RTIO-HSE-0229378) post dredging BPPH surveys.</p> <p>The 1.4 ha limit within Ministerial Statement 840 was not exceeded (RTIO-HSE-0227379).</p>	Complete.

Audit Code		Action	When	Evidence	Status
		If change in BPPH is detected in pre- versus post-dredging data, and is considered to be a consequence of dredging or spoil disposal activities, summed BPPH losses will be compared against allowable limits described under Condition 8-1 of the Ministerial Statement 840.			
DSDMP 5.4.2		BPPH monitoring will be undertaken at 19 sites, consisting of the existing 13 coral health survey sites (SKM 2010) plus an additional six potential impact sites (Table 5-3). The six additional BPPH monitoring sites were selected in representative areas containing BPPH on the south east tip of Bezout Island (three additional sites), the tip of Cape Lambert (one additional site) and on the east of Middle Reef (two additional sites).	Post Dredging 3 months after completion of 6 month post survey.	Refer to the six (RTIO-HSE-0187546) and 18 month (RTIO-HSE-0229378) post dredging BPPH surveys.	Complete.
DSDMP 5.4.3		At 6 months and 18 months from completion of dredging, the Proponent shall report to the CEO the permanent loss of BPPH and any loss of BPP communities within the six local assessment units shown. The reports shall include co-ordinates and a map showing the areas of loss of BPPH and BPP communities caused by the proposal and the results of water quality monitoring correlated with Coral health (Condition 8-10, Ministerial Statement 840). These reports will also be provided to DSWEPaC.	Prior to and Post Dredging.	Refer to the six (RTIO-HSE-0187546) and 18 month (RTIO-HSE-0229378) post dredging BPPH surveys.	Complete.
The CLB dredging scope was completed in September 2012. All other BPPH monitoring requirements Section 5.4 of the DSDMP were to be implemented during dredging activities and consequently, are not applicable to this reporting period. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.					Complete.
5.5 Coral Spawning Assessment and Monitoring					
5.6 Invasive Marine Species Management					
The CLB dredging scope was completed in September 2012. All monitoring requirements stipulated within sections 5.5 and 5.6 of the DSDMP were to be implemented during dredging activities only and consequently, are not applicable to this reporting period. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.					Complete.
6. Reporting and Auditing:					
6.1 Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan					
DSDMP 6.1		BPPH Monitoring Report – 18 month post dredging report: Comparison of pre dredging BPPH survey, 6 and 18 month post dredging survey results.	Submitted within 3 months of completion of 18 month BPPH survey	Refer to the 18 month (RTIO-HSE-0229378) post dredging BPPH survey.	Complete.
The CLB dredging scope was completed in September 2012. All other reporting and auditing requirements stipulated within Section 6.1 of the DSDMP were to be implemented during or immediately post dredging activities and consequently, are not applicable to this reporting period. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.					Complete.
6.2 Compliance Auditing and Reporting					
DSDMP 6.2.1		A compliance audit schedule will be developed based on the conditions contained within the various approvals documents. The finalised audit criteria schedule will be provided to the DEC and DSEWPaC for approval.		EPBC 2008/4032: refer to Section 5 of the Cape Lambert Port B Development: 2010 Compliance Assessment EPBC 2008/4032 (RTIO-HSE-0160590) Ministerial Statement 840: refer to Cape Lambert Port B Development Compliance Assessment Plan Ministerial Statement 840 (RTIO-HSE-0100106)	Complete.
DSDMP 6.2.2		The proponent will submit to the CEO of the OEPA environmental compliance reports annually, reporting on the previous twelve-month	Every 12	Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Development	Compliant.

Audit Code		Action	When	Evidence	Status
		period. The environmental compliance reports will address each element of the audit program (Appendix D).	months	Ministerial Statement 840 Annual Compliance Assessment Report 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0212109)	
DSDMP 6.2.3		An independent audit of compliance with this DSDMP and the relevant SDP will be conducted by an approved auditor. The audit criteria and the independent auditor will be approved by DSEWPaC prior to the commencement of the audit. This audit will be undertaken as per the requirement of the SDP and EPBC approval. A report on the audit results will be provided to the DSEWPaC once completed.		The DSDMP and SDP were assessed as part of the overall EPBC 2008/4032 audit conducted during this period (Refer to compliance item 2008/4032:M15 for further details). The independent audit identified that the DSDMP and SDP were being implemented in-full, as required.	Compliant.
DSDMP 6.2.4		Upon request, at least two Australian government nominees will be afforded access to witness, inspect, examine or audit any part of the operations, including any dumping or monitoring activity, the vessel or any other equipment, or any documented records, and are to be provided with any necessary assistance in carrying out their duties.	When requested.	Not applicable, inspection requests occurred during this reporting period.	Compliant.
7. Sea Dumping Permit Application Requirements					
SD2008/822 expired on 26 October 2013 and all dredging/post-dredging requirements have been reported on previously. For further details regarding implementation of these requirements refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.					Complete.

Table 5 Marine Turtle Management Plan Compliance Assessment

Audit Code	Action	When	Evidence	Status
Project Design Measures				
MTMP 3.1	Final design will aim to maximise the setback of stockpiles and other infrastructure from Bells Beach.	During the final design phase. Prior to the commencement of construction activities.	Infrastructure has been set back from Bells Beach as required, as detailed within the Cape Lambert Port B (50+50MTPA Phase A) Definitive Engineering Study (CLB-REP-G-025, RTIO-HSE-0195648) and the Cape Lambert Port B 353 Definitive Engineering Study (CLBB-REP-G-001, RTIO-HSE-0239518). Both Bells and Cooling water beaches are recorded as exclusion zones within RTIO's GIS and approvals databases.	Complete.
MTMP 3.2	Ensure the final design does not result in any direct clearing of turtle nesting habitat on Bells Beach or Cooling Water Beach.	During the final design phase. Prior to the commencement of construction activities.	The final design does not result in any direct clearing of turtle habitat at Bells or Cooling Water beaches, as detailed within the Cape Lambert Port B (50+50MTPA Phase A) Definitive Engineering Study (CLB-REP-G-025, RTIO-HSE-0195648) and the Cape Lambert Port B 353 Definitive Engineering Study (CLBB-REP-G-001, RTIO-HSE-0239518). Both Bells and Cooling Water beaches are recorded as exclusion zones within RTIO's GIS and approvals databases.	Complete.
MTMP 3.3	Ensure the final design does not result in any direct clearing of the elevated foredune separating Bells Beach from the port facilities.	During the final design phase. Prior to the commencement of construction activities.	The final design does not result in any direct clearing of the elevated foredune separating Bells Beach from the port facilities, as detailed within the Cape Lambert Port B (50+50MTPA Phase) Definitive Engineering Study (CLB-REP-G-025). Both Bells and Cooling water beaches are recorded as exclusion zones within RTIO's GIS and approvals databases.	Complete.
MTMP 3.4	Ensure that final project design does not result in increased vehicle access onto Bells Beach or Cooling Water Beach.	During the final design phase. Prior to the commencement of construction activities.	The final design does not result in any increased vehicular access onto Bells or Cooling Water Beaches, as detailed within the Cape Lambert Port B (50+50MTPA Phase) Definitive Engineering Study (CLB-REP-G-025). Both Bells and Cooling water beaches are recorded as exclusion zones within RTIO's GIS and approvals databases.	Complete.
MTMP 3.5	Stabilise primary dune vegetation (and enhance where opportunities are present), using locally occurring dune species.	Where opportunities present.	Not applicable, no stabilisation works were conducted during this reporting period.	Not applicable.

MTMP 3.6 to 3.8	<p>Conduct a project lighting review to identify all static and mobile operational light sources.</p> <p>Provide a copy of the completed project lighting review to DSEWPaC by 31st January 2011.</p> <p>Critically reassess all identified project lighting, giving consideration to functional and safety issues, with the objective of reducing light levels and light spill at Bells Beach and Cooling Water Beach and along the wharf.</p>	Prior to the commencement of construction activities.	<p>The project undertook annual lighting reviews between 2011 and 2013. The first lighting review was provided to the DSEWPaC (now DoE) prior to 31 January 2011, as required.</p> <p>Refer to the first (RTIO-HSE-0106625), second (RTIO-HSE-0134983) and third / final (RTIO-HSE-0174946) phase project lighting reviews.</p>	Complete.
MTMP 3.9	<p>Produce a finalised project lighting specification based on the outcomes of the review process required by 3.6 and 3.7 above.</p> <p>The outcome of the first phase lighting review and analysis was provided to the DSEWPaC in January 2011. Additional information in relation to the lighting review and analysis for the Cape Lambert Port B development, including the amendments to the tug harbour will be submitted to DSEWPaC by 31 January 2012.</p> <p>Advice will be provided to DSEWPaC on what measures will be implemented, how these measures will address the relevant management actions and the timing for implementation.</p>	31 January 2012.	<p>Refer to the first (RTIO-HSE-0106625), second (RTIO-HSE-0134983) and third / final (RTIO-HSE-0174946, submitted to the DoE on 31 January 2013) phase project lighting reviews.</p> <p>The third / final phase lighting review categorises all light sources associated with the project and details the engineering management measures used to minimise light emissions from the site.</p>	Complete.
Construction Management Procedures				
MTMP 4.1	Apply the Approvals Request and permitting system to ensure no unauthorised clearing is undertaken.		All works were conducted in accordance with the Approval Request and permitting system and no unauthorised clearing of potential marine turtle habitat occurred during this reporting period, as evidenced within the project's incident reporting database.	Compliant.
MTMP 4.2	Apply the Port B Development CEMP clearing procedures.		All works were conducted in accordance with the Approval Request and permitting system and CEMP. No unauthorised clearing of potential marine turtle habitat occurred during this reporting period, as evidenced within the project's incident reporting database.	Compliant.
MTMP 4.3	Include a marine turtle education and management requirements component in site inductions.	During new starter site inductions.	Refer to the CLB Site Specific Induction (RTIO-HSE-0125707) and the Marine Fauna Observation Training Package (RTIO-HSE-0128846).	Compliant.
MTMP 4.4	No personnel or vehicles to access Bells Beach or Cooling Water Beach without EPCM EO approval.	When access to Bells and Cooling Water beaches is required.	<p>Both Bells and Cooling Water beaches are recorded as exclusion zones within RTIO's GIS and approvals databases and can only be accessed with appropriate authorisation, as required.</p> <p>Refer to the dispensation allowing Bells and Cooling Water beaches to be accessed for light spill monitoring (RTIOHSE-0098712).</p>	Compliant.
MTMP 4.5	Report any incidents involving marine turtles to DSEWPaC and DEC.	In the event of an incident involving marine turtles.	There were no marine turtle incidents attributable to proposal implementation during this reporting period.	Not applicable.

MTMP 4.6-4.12	These management actions specifically relate to dredging and pile driving scopes which were completed in September 2012 and June 2013 respectively and consequently, have not been considered within this compliance assessment. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.				Complete.												
MTMP Management Action 4.13	Develop and implement a possible nest relocation programme for Cooling Water Beach, in liaison with DEC and DSEWPaC, in the event that monitoring indicates a significant decline in nest success relative to other beaches. DEC and DSEWPaC approval will be required before this strategy is adopted.	In the event that monitoring indicates a significant decline in nest success relative to other beaches.	A decline in nesting success did not occur at Cooling Water Beach during this reporting period.		Not applicable.												
Operational Management Procedures																	
MTMP Management Actions 5	Various.	Various.	The Cape Lambert Port B project was not licenced as an operational facility under Part V of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> during this reporting period.		Not applicable.												
Monitoring																	
MTMP Management Action 6.1	Design and implement a monitoring plan for the Bells Beach primary dune.		The Bells Beach primary dune monitoring program is detailed within the Cape Lambert Port B Development Marine Turtle Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0193896) and Cape Lambert Port B Ecosystems Research Monitoring Program (RTIO-HSE-0111471).		Compliant												
MTMP Management Action 6.2	Undertake field monitoring of incident light levels at Bells Beach and Cooling Water Beach and regional sites.	Annually	Light monitoring has been conducted at Bells Beach, Cooling Water Beach and Delambre Island, as required. Monitoring results are stored within the Cape Lambert Port B light spill monitoring register (RTIO-HSE-0175026).		Compliant												
MTMP Management Action 6.3	Capture light level data into a database and GIS	Annually	Light monitoring has been conducted at Bells Beach, Cooling Water Beach and Delambre Island, as required. Monitoring results are stored within the Cape Lambert Port B light spill monitoring register (RTIO-HSE-0175026).		Compliant												
MTMP Management Action 6.4	Investigate the use of sky glow image monitoring from fixed viewsheds at Bells Beach and Cooling Water Beach and regional sites.	Annually.	Sky glow monitoring using handheld meters and dataloggers has been successfully trialled (RTIOHSE-0113002). It will continue to be conducted and reported under Project 4 of the Cape Lambert Port B Ecosystems Research Monitoring Program (RTIO-HSE-0111471 and RTIO-HSE-0247376).		Compliant.												
MTMP Management Actions 6.5 to 6.8	The CLB piling scope was completed in July 2013. The monitoring requirements specified within Management Actions 6.5 to 6.8 of the MTMP were successfully completed during piling works and have been reported on previously. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.				Complete.												
MTMP Management Action 6.9	Undertake nesting activity monitoring at Bells Beach and Cooling Water Beach, and collect contextual data at reference sites.	Annually.	During the 2013/2014 marine turtle nesting season (five month assessment period), a total of 140 and 11 nests were observed on Bells and Cooling Water beaches respectively. Comparatively, at the Delabre Island reference site, a total of 675 nests were recorded over a 21 night period.		Compliant.												
MTMP Management Action 6.10	Monitor hatchling dispersal patterns in onshore habitats.	Annually.	Hatchling dispersal assessments were conducted on Bells Beach during the 2013/2014 marine turtle nesting season, as required. Monitoring results are summarized below. <table><tr><td>N</td><td>Fan spread</td><td>Fan centre (c)</td><td>Angle to water (X)</td><td>Offset Angle</td><td>Test Results (C vs X)</td></tr><tr><td>12</td><td>50.3° ± 4.37</td><td>339.5 ± 3.50</td><td>333.7 ± 2.41</td><td>5.8° ± 3.22</td><td>T11= -1.80 p = 0.099</td></tr></table>		N	Fan spread	Fan centre (c)	Angle to water (X)	Offset Angle	Test Results (C vs X)	12	50.3° ± 4.37	339.5 ± 3.50	333.7 ± 2.41	5.8° ± 3.22	T11= -1.80 p = 0.099	Compliant.
N	Fan spread	Fan centre (c)	Angle to water (X)	Offset Angle	Test Results (C vs X)												
12	50.3° ± 4.37	339.5 ± 3.50	333.7 ± 2.41	5.8° ± 3.22	T11= -1.80 p = 0.099												

MTMP Management Action 6.11a	Conduct tagging programme for turtles utilising Bells Beach, Cooling Water beach and Delambre Island to examine long term population changes	Annually.	Turtle tagging activities were conducted during the 2013/2014 marine turtle nesting season, as required. A total of 16 and 367 turtles were newly tagged at Bells Beach and Delambre Island respectively. No turtles were newly tagged at Cooling Water Beach.	Compliant.
MTMP Management Action 6.11b	Conduct satellite tracking of nesting female Flatback turtles at Bells Beach	Within 3 years.	16 satellite transmitters were deployed between November 2010 and November 2012. Data collection was complete for 14 of the 16 transmitters by end 2013. Results of the program have been made publically available at http://www.seaturtle.org/tracking/index.shtml?project_id=579	Compliant.
MTMP Management Action 6.12 to 6.14	Continue incident reporting programme and analyse turtle related incidents. Report mortalities of marine turtles found within the Port B Development work area through notification to DSEWPaC within 48 hours of the sighting. Undertake an investigation if the injured or dead turtle is attributed to the Port B Development and refer to one of three trigger levels to guide any management response.	When an incident occurs involving marine turtles. Within 48 hours of sighting. In the event of a marine turtle mortality which is attributable to the Port B Development.	There were no marine turtle incidents attributable to Cape Lambert Port B operations during this reporting period.	Not applicable.
MTMP Management Action 6.15	Report to the DSEWPaC if any turtles/hatchlings need to be relocated outlining matters such as the reason for the relocation, the site where the turtles/hatchling was relocated from and where it was relocated to, and timing) within 48 hours.	Within 48 hours of a marine turtle relocation.	Not applicable, no marine turtle relocations occurred during this reporting period.	Not applicable.
Reporting and Review Process				
MTMP Management Action 7.1 and 7.2	Prepare internal and external reports on marine turtle monitoring and management. Undertake annual continuous improvement review based on outcomes of 7.1 above, in consultation with DEC and DSEWPaC.	Annually.	Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Marine Turtle Management Plan (our ref: RTIO-HSE-0193896). Refer to the OEPA (OEPA ref: 1ST092013-0003; our ref: RTIOHSE-0212007) and DSEWPaC (DSEWPaC ref: 2012/13272; our ref: RTIO-HSE-0212008) approvals of the Management Plan.	Compliant.
MTMP Management Action 7.3	Employ an Ecosystems Monitoring Advisor (EMA) as part of implementation of the Cape Lambert Port B Development	Once.	AN EMA has been employed, as required.	Complete.
MTMP Management Action 7.4	Consult with DEC, DSEWPaC and other stakeholders in regards to turtle monitoring and management.	As required.	Refer to the Cape Lambert Port B Marine Turtle Management Plan (our ref: RTIO-HSE-0193896). Refer to the OEPA (OEPA ref: 1ST092013-0003; our ref: RTIOHSE-0212007) and DSEWPaC (DSEWPaC ref: 2012/13272; our ref: RTIO-HSE-0212008) approvals of the Management Plan.	Compliant.
MTMP Management Action 7.5	Provide annual report to the Minister for SEWPaC addressing compliance with the conditions of EPBC 2008/4032, including this MTMP (Condition 18)	Annually.	Refer to the 2010 (our ref: RTIO-HSE-0125801), 2011 (RTIO-HSE-01650590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE- 0200765), 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) and 2014 (this document) annual compliance reports.	Compliant.
MTMP Management Action 7.6	Provide Compliance Assessment Report to the OEPA addressing compliance with the conditions of Ministerial Statement 840 (Condition 4)	Annually.	Refer to the 2010 (our ref: RTIO-HSE-0109153), 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0132047) and 2012 (RTIO-HSE- 0178492), 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0212109) and 2014 (RTIO-HSE-0237597) annual compliance reports.	Compliant.

Table 6 Cetacean Management Plan Compliance Assessment

Audit Code	Action	When	Evidence	Status
CMP Table 3-2 Vessel Movements Management Measures	The CLB dredging and pile driving scopes were completed in September 2012 and July 2013 respectively. These two scopes represent the major marine works associated with the CLB project. All management and reporting requirements specified within Table 3-2 of the CMP have been successfully completed. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.			Complete.
CMP Table 3-3 Pile Driving Management Measures and Reporting Requirements	The CLB piling scope was completed in July 2013. The management and reporting requirements specified within Table 3-3 of the CMP were successfully completed during and immediately post piling works and have been reported on previously. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.			Complete.
CMP Table 3-4 Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Measures and Reporting Requirements	The CLB dredging scope was completed in September 2012. All management and reporting requirements specified within Table 3-4 of the CMP were successfully completed during and immediately post dredging works and have been reported on previously. For further details regarding implementation of these scopes refer to the 2011 (RTIO-HSE-0160590), 2012 (RTIO-HSE-0200765) and 2013 (RTIO-HSE-0240147) annual compliance reports.			Complete.
Table 3-5 Marine Drill and Blast Management Measures and Reporting Requirements	<p>This BMP/D&BMP will incorporate management and monitoring for Commonwealth listed threatened and migratory species (including cetaceans)</p> <p>This plan will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the drill and blast methodology, developed according to detailed site characteristics not available to date (i.e. area and depth to be blasted, rock hardness and proximity of other infrastructure) and environmental protection requirements • An assessment of the amount of drilling and blasting required including geographical extent • Nomination of the likely blast pressures and potential environmental impacts of these pressures • Management actions and procedures to minimise environmental impacts including the disposal of drilling muds and consideration of ecological windows between seasonally sensitive periods for marine wildlife • Monitoring for the presence of listed threatened and migratory species, including exclusion zones, prior to and during blasting. • Commitments that any blasting activities will not be undertaken at night or during turtle nesting or hatchling seasons • Post blast inspection for injured fauna including management and reporting of injured fauna • A description of how dead and injured wildlife are to be managed. • Details of responsible parties for each action described in the BMP/D&BMP, including reporting requirements and timeframes • Stakeholder communication 	Prior to and during marine drill and blast activities.	The CLB construction scope does not require any marine drilling or blasting activities. All marine construction works were completed prior to this reporting period.	Not applicable / Complete.