

Appendix A.4: Stakeholder Consultation Register

Traditional Owner Groups

1. Nyangumarta People Engagement - 2019-2025

The table below outlines engagements relevant to the Proposal between the Proponent, the Nyangumarta People and NWAC, including Technical, Board of Directors (BoD), and Common Law Holder (CLH) Meetings. Agenda items reflect the discussions held relevant to the Proposal, the two additional columns highlight Proposal specific matters directly related to the ERD, along with the corresponding responses, actions, or commitments made over the course of the engagement.

Given the breadth of content covered in each meeting, the Proponent has focused on highlighting items most relevant to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) assessment. However, further detail can be provided upon request.

For more comprehensive information on the Proponent's engagement with the Nyangumarta People refer to Chapter 6.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
September 2019	Elders visit to Proposal site Nyangumarta Rangers visit to Proposal site	Elders visit to Proposal site (2-6 Sept 2019) - New name for Montserrat prospect – 'Nyapalkarra' - New name for Old Dump Road – 'Wirrkirr Wirrkirr' – means windy windy - New name for helipad – 'Pundl' - Consultation on drilling in the dunes and dune guiding principles drafted for (BoD) consideration. Rangers feral cat management trip (4-6 September 2019) - Draft feral cat management plan and proposal for follow up including detail about environmental management capabilities of the Ranger program.		
16 September 2019	Pre-Meeting with Nyangumarta	- Dune protocol for drilling & Nyangumarta's guidance principle - Miscellaneous Licences to access	1. Discussion regarding impacts to the dunes and viability of the Proposal. The Proponent requested guidance from NWAC on impacting the dunes. The Proponent advised it was seeking in-principle support for the Proposal from NWAC by end of 2019. 2. Discussion regarding closure and remaining infrastructure.	1. When discussing the Proposal layout, the Proponent made a commitment to work with NWAC to co-design the mine plan, considering potential impacts to dunes and areas of cultural significance. The Proponent also noted that the higher Tailings Storage Facility (TSF) would result in less disturbance. The Proponent committed to continue to provide information on the mine plan and associated infrastructure as the study progressed. NWAC and the Proponent committed to co-develop protocols for potential dune impacts/disturbance. 2. During closure discussions, NWAC advised at closure they do not want a hole in the ground. The Proponent advised that the pit will become a pit lake at closure as backfilling the pit would make the Proposal economically unviable.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			3. Discussions regarding Nyangumarta and neighbouring Country and location of proposed infrastructure.	3. NWAC advised that the camp should stay on Nyangumarta Country.
17 September 2019	Board Meeting	- Proposed Proposal development - Hydro update - Environmental update	1. The Proponent provided an overview of preliminary study and the proposed Proposals life cycle. 2. Discussion regarding need to move the camp from initial location. 3. Discussion regarding options for access roads.	1. When discussing the Proposal: - NWAC queried the process of being informed of increases in water allocations. The Proponent committed to ensuring NWAC is provided with updated information and Technical and Environmental Reports are progressed. - NWAC queried the use of solar. The Proponent advised the power solution will likely be a combination of solar, wind and LNG (or diesel). - NWAC queried the use of chemicals in the water, TSF and processing - the Proponent provided a response. - NWAC requested maps, video and photos. Note: The Proponent developed a flyover and VR which is updated annually and/or as required. 2. NWAC advised there are concerns about moving the camp to Martu Country - the negotiations from the start agreed "Nyangumarta in Nyangumarta Country". NWAC emphasised the importance of this. The Proponent committed to the camp being located on Nyangumarta Country. 3. NWAC advised preference is for Nyangumarta Highway to be the main access road. Nyangumarta Highway has a permit system managed by NWAC that is a revenue generating source for Nyangumarta People. The Proponent advised that Nyangumarta Highway and Wirikirr Road are the Proposal's preference. NWAC queried if the road would be sealed. The Proponent advised the roads will be graded/gravel roads. The Proponent confirmed the roads will need to be upgraded and diverted to avoid Black Footed Rock Wallaby habitat.
18 September 2019	Board Meeting	- Proposed Proposal development - Hydro update - Environmental update	1. Presentation and discussion on the Proposal.	1. The Proponent committed to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) - being upfront and transparent and providing NWAC with all

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			2. Discussion on roads needed for the Proposal - including upgrade of existing roads.	information related to the Proposal as it becomes available. Note: FPIC was subsequently included in the 2023 Proposal Planning Agreement with NWAC providing a definition on what FPIC means to the Nyangumarta People. 2. The Proponent confirmed that any road construction/updates would involve consultation with NWAC. NWAC queried if there will be dust - the Proponent confirmed that dust will be generated by operations but will be managed using water trucks and other dust mitigations.
15-16 October 2019	On-Site Meeting at Sandfire and Proposal site	- Proposed Proposal development - Hydro update - Environmental update	1. The Proponent advised that seeking NWAC consent for 10 pads in inter-dunal area and 2 Nyapalkarra pads to ensure viability of mineralisation and Proposal. 2. NWAC raised concern regarding impacts to the Winu Soak. The Proponent advised based on current research, and subject to additional testing, there's no direct link between Winu Soak and the Proposal. 3. The Proponent shared current indicative mine plan mapping for discussion purposes. 4. Discussion on FPIC and ensuring NWAC understand all aspects of the Proposal so that an informed decision can be made about what happens on Nyangumarta Country.	1. NWAC Elders provided consent for drilling to occur for exploration activities only and Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (ACH) surveys conducted on a "site avoidance" basis. NWAC approved a single dune crossing with matting and consent to use the crossing for specific drilling work - any other use would require NWAC approval. All works conducted under existing Heritage Agreement. Formal consent letter provided from NWAC to the Proponent. 2. The Proponent acknowledged Winu Soak as an area of cultural significance for NWAC and committed to working with NWAC on a monitoring plan to ensure the Proposal will not impact the Winu Soak. 3. NWAC advised that the camp must be on Nyangumarta Country. The Proponent committed to share the mine plan with Nyangumarta. 4. Discussed the opportunity for NWAC representatives to visit a mine site to see an operating mine and the social, environmental and cultural impacts, as well as understand the size and scale of infrastructure and landforms as part of FPIC.
28-29 October 2019	Board Meeting	- Proposed Proposal development - Hydro update	1. The Proponent advised it was seeking in-principle support from NWAC for the Proposal to proceed and guidance regarding potential dune impacts. The Proponent wanted to ensure	1. When discussing closure, the Proponent confirmed that the pit cannot be backfilled as this will make the Proposal unviable. The Proponent confirmed that NWAC will continue to be

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			NWAC understand the scale and impact of the Proposal, including closure, from the outset. 2. Discussion regarding construction of Waste Rock Landform (WRL) and design either being long and skinny with a larger disturbance footprint or higher which could impact visual amenity.	consulted early and included in the co-design of the closure of the Proposal, ensuring when the Proposal is closed it is stable, safe and secure. 2. The Proponent confirmed the WRL would be built on top of dune sets and approximately ~60 - ~80m. NWAC advised preference for less ground disturbance. 3. During discussions regarding Nyangumarta Highway, NWAC advised their preference is to avoid extra movements near Camp 1. 4. NWAC queried how the pit will be made safe for people and animals - noting that some Port Hedland pits only have mounts (as opposed to fences) which are very dangerous. Note: This was further discussed at a Social Surroundings consultation in October 2023.
18-19 November 2019	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposed Proposal development - Hydro update - Environmental update	1. The Proponent presented the flyover with commentary about the Proposal - key areas of discussion included the height of TSF and WRL; stockpiles; location of infrastructure; impacts to dunes; and closure.	1. When discussing the flyover: - NWAC acknowledged that the pit won't be filled but queried fencing the pit at closure. The Proponent advised the Proposal could not commit to fencing the pit at closure but would continue to work with NWAC on all aspects of closure. - Discussion regarding the pit lake at closure - the Proponent advised still needs to conduct studies and tests to determine the water quality. All information will be shared with NWAC. - The Proponent and NWAC discussed that the TSF will be permanent at closure. The Proponent indicated that (subject to further studies) the TSF will be capped with rocks and topsoil from stockpiles, then revegetated.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
21 November 2019	Presentation to Nyangumarta Community at CLH Meeting	- Proposed Proposal development - Dune protocol for drilling & Nyangumarta's guidance principle	1. The Proponent presented the flyover with commentary about all aspects of the Proposal.	1. When discussing the flyover: - Query from Nyangumarta CLH regarding sealed or gravel roads - the Proponent advised dual carriage gravel roads. - Query from NWAC about PAF and NAF and management and mitigation strategies - the Proponent provided information and later produced illustrations to demonstrate the management of PAF. - Query about depth of the pit - the Proponent advised currently ~300m deep although the mineralisation is deeper. Studies are still underway to consider the Proposal definition which the Proponent committed to keeping NWAC informed. - The Proponent presented an update on water and acknowledged the importance of water to the Nyangumarta People. 2. In principle support resolution passed for the Proposal at the NWAC CLH Meeting. 3. Letter received from NWAC on 26 November 2019 providing in principle support to the Proponent to develop a copper and gold mine at the Proposal site, subject to NWAC negotiating and entering into an Agreement with the Proponent for the development and operation of the mine on terms acceptable to and approved by the Nyangumarta native title holders. The decision to give in principle support was a consensus decision.
29 April 2020	Technical Meeting	- Project Agreement - Proposal update	1. The Proponent provided update on Commonwealth Environmental Approval and EPBC Act requirements - including mitigation and management measures captured in Bilby Management Plan.	1. The Proponent and NWAC discussed options for Nyangumarta Rangers to be involved with the development and implementation of the Bilby Management Plan. The Proponent updated the Bilby Management Plan to include the expectation that the Nyangumarta Ranger program is included in the implementation of the Plan.
13 May 2020	Technical Meeting	- Project Agreement discussions - Proposal update	1. Discussion regarding "marking out" the mining lease with Nyangumarta monitors present - on hold due to COVID restrictions.	1. NWAC and the Proponent worked together on a re-engagement plan within the COVID Management Plan. Note: the mining lease was "pegged" on 18 August 2020 with two

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			2. Discussion regarding the purpose and development of the Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP).	Nyangumarta representatives monitoring and participating in the activity. 2. NWAC requested that the Nyangumarta People are more actively engaged in the development of the CHMP and how it will be implemented. NWAC and the Proponent agreed to co-develop the CHMP.
5 June 2020	Technical Meeting	- Project Agreement discussions - Proposal update - ACH surveys	1. The Proponent presented new proposed TSF layout and requirements for updated ACH survey areas.	1. NWAC requested additional information on the proposed new layout of the TSF. Following the meeting the Proponent provided 3D still shots of the original base case TSF to compare/consider in contrast to new layout.
30 June 2020	Technical Meeting	- ACH survey update - Mining Lease pegging update - Project Agreement discussions - Proposal activities update	1. The Proponent presented a detailed update on the water studies, acknowledging the guidance NWAC has provided on the significance of water. 2. The Proponent presented an update on mine closure including stages of closure; rehabilitation; infrastructure and buildings; TSF; WRL; pit and pit lake; and impacts of mine closure for Traditional Owners 3. The Proponent presented information on mine closure – including the three phases (planning; execution; and monitoring and maintenance), and domains to manage after mining (the pit, TSF, WRL and infrastructure and buildings). The Proponent provided information on the pit lake, including environmental impacts, as well as photo examples of pit lakes in WA and across the world.	1. NWAC emphasised concern for Winu Soak to be protected. The Proponent confirmed all proposed access to Soaks will be restricted and only visited if NWAC BoD provides approval. The Proponent confirmed not going to drill at soak location. The Proponent confirmed that an update on the water studies will be a regular agenda item to be discussed with NWAC - this will continue throughout the Proposal mine life and the Proponent committed to share the results and learnings as the work progresses. 2. During closure discussions NWAC raised concerns regarding keeping fauna safe. This was a consistent message from NWAC regarding the impacts of the Proposal and the safety of fauna - including water located close to the road which could attract animals who are then at great risk of being hit by a vehicle. The Proponent agreed to continue working with NWAC on closure strategies and explore fencing in addition to berms. 3. When discussing closure and the pit lake, NWAC raised concerns about potential impacts to fauna. As above, the Proponent agreed to continue working with NWAC on closure strategies and explore fencing in addition to berms. The Proponent also committed to continued consultation with NWAC regarding closure.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
24-25 August 2020	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Project Agreement - EPA Part IV Referral process for Nyangumarta consideration - Water story illustrations	1. The Proponent provided detailed information on TSF - including impacts to dunes.	1. The Proponent acknowledged the cultural importance of sand dunes to Nyangumarta People and accepted NWAC's request for continuing consultation with NWAC about the proposed impact to dunes. NWAC requested the Proponent update the flyover incorporating the new TSF design and present at next meeting which was undertaken.
27 October 2020	Board Meeting	- Recap on engagement and information sharing in 2019 - Brief Proposal recap	1. The Proponent presented the Proposal scope for EPA Referral - including all elements of the Proposal. The Proponent presented the updated flyover.	1. NWAC queried movements and safety of trucks within the pit. The Proponent committed to providing examples of traffic management within the pit. Note: this was presented at the November NWAC meetings. 2. NWAC raised concerns about keeping people safe on Nyangumarta Country. The Proponent acknowledged and emphasised this was also a priority for the Proposal and committed to continuing to work with NWAC on all elements of the Proposal, including keeping people safe.
29-30 March 2021	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement - EPA Part IV Referral update - ACH update	1. The Proponent presented mining and processing illustrations that covered pre-strip; depth of pit; WRL; TSF; and the processing process. The Proponent used real life examples to convey the size of infrastructure (i.e. the TSF will be ~16 football fields long).	1. NWAC provided positive feedback on the illustrations with discussions on ensuring information continued to be provided in a way that was easily understood and aligned with FPIC.
17-18 May 2021	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement - EPA Part IV Referral update - ACH and monitoring update - Road safety upgrades and tenure	1. The Proponent presented updated flyover, virtual reality, posters and booklets for upcoming CLH meetings. 2. The Proponent advised that recent water studies have not yet re-confirmed if re-injection is technically feasible for the surplus water, so the Proposal is considering alternatives to address dewatering. 3. The Proponent presented and discussed priority road safety upgrades for ongoing	1. During the flyover presentation, NWAC queried the name of the Proposal's airstrip and advised it is important to call the airstrip the Karlkayn Airstrip as it is located on the neighbour's Country. This naming was adopted for the Karlkayn Airstrip. 2. NWAC emphasised the importance of water and the Proponent used illustrations to inform NWAC about the water process. NWAC queried how excess water is managed and the Proponent advised it is conducting ongoing studies on reinjection, evaporation ponds, misting and water cannons and other alternatives and committed to keeping NWAC informed. 3. When discussing the roads NWAC emphasised safety of all on the roads and

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			exploration access on current roads. 4. Discussion on the upcoming ACH survey scope with the Proponent advising the dunes will be excluded from the scope of work as requested by NWAC.	queried if the Proponent would be widening the Great Northern Highway (GNH) turn off at Nyangumarta Highway; dual carriage roads; and passing bays. The Proponent confirmed the roads will be upgraded to ensure the safety of all users, and that the roads would be dual lane with turnaround points for graders and other trucks to use. The Proponent will work with Main Roads WA to understand requirements for the GNH and Nyangumarta Highway turn off. 4. NWAC reinforced the caveat for no ground disturbing works to be undertaken by any Proponent entity in the dunal areas prior to the finalising of a Project Agreement.
1 June 2021	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - EPA Part IV consultation process - Priority road safety upgrades for ongoing access	1. While presenting the illustrations, the Proponent advised part of the purpose of using different mediums was to ensure NWAC understood all elements of the Proposal and could communicate to other Nyangumarta People about the Proposal (aligning with FPIC principles).	1. The Proponent distributed booklets to all NWAC attendees and confirmed the information that would be shared more broadly at the CLH Meeting. The booklet included a general update and a set of questions and answers.
23-28 September 2021	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - ACH survey - Project Agreement - Flyover booklet for CLH meeting	1. When discussing power, the Proponent advised there is a focus on reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The Proponent advised the Proposal had been in discussions with Asian (now Australian) Renewable Energy Hub (AREH). 2. The Proponent presented a handout for the CLH meeting that included a Q&A of common questions and discussions between the Proponent and the NWAC Board and Elders over the past 18 months.	1. During discussions about power NWAC queried the Governments position on renewables – the Proponent committed to continue to keep NWAC informed of communications with Government regarding renewable infrastructure. NWAC also requested that the Proponent is clear at the CLH Meeting that the wind turbines are specific to the Proposal and not related to AREH. Proponent agreed to this request. 2. To address NWAC's concerns regarding safety at closure, the CLH handout included a requirement of the Proponent to ensure the area around the mine pit is safe to prevent animals and people from getting in.
29 September 2021	Presentation to Nyangumarta Community at CLH Meeting	- Proposal update - EPA - Part IV consultation process	1. The Proponent showed and narrated the flyover.	1. During the flyover presentation: - NWAC queried how chemicals are used and managed - the Proponent provided a response on management of chemicals. - NWAC queried quantity of minerals being mined per year. There was a discussion regarding potential expansion and the

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				Proponent confirmed they are negotiating for the current Proposal and committed to communicating with NWAC about any changes or developments. The Proponent confirmed this is captured within the Project Agreement.
25 October 2021	Technical Meeting	- Feedback from BoD and CLH meetings - ACH matters	1. The Proponent advised NWAC that the initially proposed camp is no longer viable due to an exclusion zone identified through ACH surveys and consultation. The Proponent advised a new alternative camp location needs to be evaluated and co-designed with Nyangumarta.	1. No feedback received.
22-23 November 2021	Technical Meeting NWAC Board Meeting	- ACH management - Cultural Awareness - CLH material review	1. The Proponent provided information about the CHMP, including the outline and purpose, and advised the Project Agreement will inform how the Proponent and NWAC engage on ACH matters. 2. The Proponent advised that in order to ensure Proponent's staff, contractors and visitors understand and respect the land and cultural values upon which they are working, the Proponent and NWAC will work together to establish Cultural Awareness Training.	1. The Proponent committed to co-design the CHMP with NWAC. 2. Nyangumarta Cultural Awareness Training to be commissioned with guidance from NWAC - captured within the Project Agreement.
25 November 2021	Presentation to Nyangumarta Community at CLH Meeting	- Proposal update – flyover - Processing and water story - Project Agreement	1. The Proponent provided an overview of the Proposal.	1. A question was raised regarding the Proponent's future intentions to expand the Proposal. In response, the Proponent acknowledged that the Nyangumarta People were aware of the significant interest in exploration activities across their Country. However, the Proponent clarified that the current focus is solely on the proposed pit outlined in the Proposal. This specific scope forms the basis of the Project Agreement, which would only proceed if the community and the CLH provide consent.
21 February 2022	Technical Meeting	- Proposal status - Environmental reports - Social Surrounds and ACH legislation	1. The Proponent advised there are ongoing studies to understand the mineral resource of the Proposal. The Proponent is doing studies to ensure maximum value which could include increasing to mineral processing.	1. NWAC expressed concerns regarding the changing Proposal scope. The Proponent advised the Proposal is keen to confirm the scope that will ensure maximum value and committed to ensuring NWAC are informed as the studies progresses of any proposed changes to be discussed with NWAC Board and CLH. Note: as the Proposal has progressed, NWAC and the Proponent are co-developing agreed

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				management of change to ensure all changes are communicated and endorsed by NWAC.
25 March 2022	Technical Meeting	• Update on Proposal • Camp facilities upgrade • Environmental updates and reports • ACH updates	1. The Proponent presented and narrated updated flyover with potential extension due to increased mineralisation which could result in a deeper and wider pit and larger WRL (~80m high) and TSF. The Proponent advised the study is ongoing. 2. The Proponent presented the new proposed location for the camp and advised seeking NWAC's confirmation on whether it is suitable location. 3. The Proponent provided an overview of water for the Proposal and advised the current water balance model shows a deficit. 4. The Proponent provided an update on the exploration camp upgrade and relocation and seeking NWAC support. 5. Discussion regarding recent ACH survey and sites of cultural significance identified within the proposed Proposal footprint.	1. The Proponent to committed to keeping NWAC informed as the study progresses and ensuring NWAC understand all aspects of the Proposal prior to providing consent. Noting NWAC's preference regarding the height of the WRL versus disturbance footprint. 2. NWAC to conduct ACH surveys over proposed camp locations prior to providing guidance on the preferred location. 3. In discussions, NWAC and the Proponent acknowledged the importance of water. NWAC queried if the water requirements would increase if the mineral processing increases resulting in a higher deficit. The Proponent advised NWAC it will be looking at potential water resources within the Wallal aquifer (and other areas) and will continue to keep NWAC updated through the Technical and Environmental Reports and further details of proposed water exploration. NWAC were positive about the request to use the existing DWER bore for monitoring. 4. NWAC provided consent for the exploration camp upgrade. NWAC confirmed all areas ACH surveyed and cleared already so the upgrade can proceed. When discussing changes of location to Proposal infrastructure NWAC advised they do not want the TSF or pit located near the camp. 5. The Proponent engaged with NWAC to seek guidance on potential impacts of the proposed mine layout and to gain a deeper understanding of NWAC's ACH values, site significance, and management expectations. The Proponent committed to co-design the mine layout with NWAC taking areas of ACH into consideration.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
9 May 2022	Technical Meeting	- Update on WA State Government regulatory approvals and timeframes - Discussion on the Agreement negotiations and implementation framework	1. Discussion regarding probable PER assessment and public comments.	1. The Proponent committed to working with Nyangumarta on the EPA Referral, Environmental Scoping Document (ESD) and ERD and ensure NWAC provide their support prior to submitting. The Proponent also advised that EPA representatives and NWAC representatives will be invited to a site visit after the ERD is lodged and that NWAC will have the opportunity to talk directly with the EPA representatives. The Proponent also reiterated that the EPA understands the cultural and commercial sensitivities of NWAC's information before release to the public. The Proponent expects that Nyangumarta will be able to host EPA Board members on their Country to talk about the special places they want protected.
7 June 2022	Technical Meeting	- Water exploration - EPA Referral - Social Surroundings - ACH overview	1. The Proponent advised that the Proposal is exploring the opportunity to increase the total life of mine tonnages. 2. The Proponent advised that water studies now indicate that at times there will be a water deficit but during wet season there may be excess and therefore there is still a need to explore for additional water.	1. The Proponent committed to providing all Technical and Environmental Reports and updated water studies as they become available. Note: all current Technical and Environmental Reports have been provided to NWAC (as of November 2025).
13 June 2022	Technical Meeting	- Proposal update - Water exploration - ACH update - EPA Part IV Referral	1. The Proponent presented the mine layout and infrastructure locations.	1. When discussing the mine layout, there was discussion about locating the WRL between the dunes, the Proponent advised the Proposal would not be viable as it would take too long to deposit the waste if the WRL was lengthened to avoid impacting the dunes.
14 June 2022	Board Meeting	Proposal update	1. The Proponent presented and discussed the overview of water for the Proposal including understanding how much water is needed; how it is used; and exploring for more water.	1. During discussions about water, NWAC advised that water is a contentious subject for Nyangumarta People and wanted confirmation from the Proponent that the water will only be used on the Proposal and not by other companies within the vicinity. The Proponent confirmed that the water will be used solely for the Proposal.
19 July 2022	Technical Meeting	- Project Agreement - ACH survey update - Site visit	1. In an ongoing effort to achieve FPIC, a site visit to an operating mine was again discussed.	1. NWAC advised their preference was to see an operating copper or gold mine rather than an iron ore mine. Note: The Proponent facilitated a visit for NWAC Elders and BoD to visit Rio Tinto's Oyu Tolgoi (OT) copper-gold mine in Mongolia in 2023 to understand the impacts of an operating copper mine.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
5 September 2022	Technical Meeting	- Social Surroundings - Proposal updates	1. The Proponent advised that the revised mine layout has resulted in the TSF now having two cells with a downward slurry and there will potentially need to be a second smaller TSF to the north, and the WRL will also increase. 2. The Proponent presented update on recent ACH surveys and sites of cultural significance identified. 3. The Proponent presented the co-design concept and framework.	1. When discussing the changed mine layout, there was discussion about sites of cultural significance and the Proponent committed to working with NWAC on a design and ACH management protocol for the Proposal. The Proponent also committed to ensuring feedback and guidance received from NWAC was incorporated into the mine plans. 2. The Proponent advised that during the recent ACH survey of the proposed camp location, a stand of trees with cultural significance was identified. NWAC requested the stand of trees be avoided and if possible incorporated into the camp design. The Proponent committed to co-design the camp and the stand of trees has been incorporated into the design. 3. When discussing co-design, NWAC emphasised the importance of weed management and advised this could also be an economic and employment opportunity for the Nyangumarta Rangers. The Proponent committed to co-design the weed wash facility with NWAC.
27 September 2022	Technical Meeting	- Proposal update - EPA Approvals / Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent provided information on gold processing - including that the processing plant includes a Carbon-in-Leach (CiL) circuit and the use of cyanide. 2. During the water update, the Proponent advised the Proposal is committed to reusing	1. NWAC queried residual cyanide in the TSF. The Proponent advised using a detox circuit to reduce the impact of cyanide that goes to TSF. NWAC queried if this would affect the design of the TSF. The Proponent advised that the TSF is lined to minimise the seepage potential. The Proponent also provided information on the transport and storage of cyanide. NWAC questioned if the cyanide would be driven through the camp and the Proponent advised that the design of the roads is to minimise likely interactions with personnel and maximise safety of moving vehicles and confirmed the new camp will not have any transport going through related to processing - NWAC were pleased with this response. 2. NWAC were supportive of the inhibitor balls to reduce evaporation. NWAC queried if the

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			water wherever possible, noting this has been raised as a priority for NWAC. In an effort to minimise evaporation, the trialling of inhibitor balls were proposed to cover the surface of water areas. 3. The Proponent provided an environmental management update including that no weeds were identified on the RAC beyond the already existing weed populations within the pastoral lease.	inhibitor balls would also reduce the likelihood of birds coming in - the Proponent considers this possible. 3. NWAC noted it was great there were still no weeds on the roads. The Proponent confirmed a commitment to work with NWAC on a formal process for weed management including co-design of a weed wash facility.
28 September 2022	Board Meeting	Proposal update	1. The Proponent advised that roads will be upgraded and be dual lane. The portion of road from process plant to airport is currently proposed to be single lane with passing bays due to low frequency of use. 2. When discussing the changed mine layout, the Proponent emphasised the importance of co-design with Nyangumarta to ensure that areas of cultural significance are protected and impacts to sand dunes minimised as much as possible.	1. NWAC asked the Proponent to confirm dual lane for the main access road from a safety perspective - the Proponent confirmed and committed to keeping NWAC informed and engaged with access road planning. 2. The Proponent committed to co-designing the mine layout with Nyangumarta, minimising potential impacts to ACH and the environment wherever possible.
10-11 October 2022	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Overview of what has happened in the Proposal since the last CLH meeting in June 2021 - Next steps (discussions with Nyangumarta People about the Proposal and how the infrastructure is designed)	1. The Proponent presented CLH brochure and other materials for review and approval prior to CLH. 2. The Proponent presented and narrated the flyover - key questions from NWAC focused on the safe use of chemicals and the potential impacts to Country and people.	1. NWAC commented that the brochure was very professional and depicted current Proposal information extremely well. 2. The Proponent presented on how the Proposal will use cyanide safely. NWAC queried potential impacts to Country and fauna if there was a spill and requested pamphlets on chemical use and safety. Note: following the meeting the Proponent produced cyanide and ammonium nitrate fact sheets to explain what they are, how they are used and safely managed which were distributed to Nyangumarta representatives.
14 October 2022	Presentation to Nyangumarta Community at CLH Meeting	Overview of progress on the Proposal since 2021 - proposed mine design and changes	1. The Proponent provided an overview of the Proposal.	1. The key interest from Nyangumarta CLH's was in the use of toxic chemicals, particularly cyanide and the group requested more information about the chemicals and how they were used and what training and safety protection was required to safeguard workers and the flora and fauna on Nyangumarta Country. This was provided by the Proponent.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
21-22 November 2022	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Project Agreement - ACH update - Proposal update	1. The Proponent advised that the predicted water balance for the Proposal has periods of both water deficit and water surplus, as such the Proposal is exploring options to manage surplus water. The Proponent was previously exploring reinjection bores to the north of the Development Envelope, but this is no longer technically viable due to the geology of the ground. The Proponent is seeking guidance and co-design from NWAC on potential locations for surplus water discharge. 2. Discussion regarding co-designing a quarterly newsletter and other information. The Proponent also presented the first version of the Proposal Glossary in an effort towards FPIC and ensuring Nyangumarta People understand terms used in the mining industry. Note: The Proponent produces annual glossaries with additional terms included as requested by NWAC and as information becomes available.	1. During discussions regarding water discharge options, the Proponent committed to continuing to inform NWAC as information becomes available and ensuring NWAC are informed of all engagements with DWER to discuss options. 2. The Proponent advised it would like Nyangumarta to name the newsletter and contribute to articles. Note: The Proponent produces a quarterly newsletter - Milli Milli Muwarr - Milli Milli = paper; Muwarr = talking/giving of information.
20-21 February 2023	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Project Agreement - ACH & Social Surrounding - Proposal update	1. Discussion regarding weed wash facility.	1. NWAC shared desire to ensure both western science and Nyangumarta priorities are included in the design and implementation. Commitment from the Proponent to work with NWAC to co-design weed wash facility.
20-21 March 2023	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Project Agreement 3D Model	1. The Proponent presented the new 3D model and projection layers. The Proponent advised that the infrastructure design and location was not yet finalised due to an ACH site found in the centre of the proposed TSF location. The Proponent would continue to work with NWAC on the co-design of the mine layout to avoid areas of ACH significance, and where they couldn't be avoided co-develop the ACH management protocol and CHMP.	1. The 3D model was very well received by NWAC commenting on the tangible material and the different projection layers. The Proponent committed to updating the 3D model as the Proposal progresses and producing additional projection layers as required or directed by NWAC.
5 May 2023	Technical Meeting	- Interim Planning Agreement - Proposal update - Co-design discussion	1. The Proponent presented three TSF options and considerations. Discussion regarding ACH sites and ensuring impacts are considered while developing the mine design and associated infrastructure.	1. NWAC queried if the Proponent had a preference on the TSF location. The Proponent advised that the three options presented were the most viable from an engineering, financial and operational perspective. The Proponent advised the Proposal is seeking NWAC's guidance on the preferred location and design. NWAC queried potential shade to prevent toxic

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				pond evaporation - the Proponent advised that the 80m high WRL will provide some shade over the TSF depending upon the time of day. The Proponent and NWAC committed to co-design the CHMP to manage sites of ACH significance. NWAC to consider information provided by the Proponent on TSF options and to be further discussed during Social Surroundings on-Country consultation.
30 June 2023 - 13 July 2023	NWAC Oyu Tolgoi (OT) Visit	Nyangumarta visit to Rio Tinto's OT copper-gold mine in Mongolia to understand the impacts of an operating copper mine.	Opportunity for Nyangumarta People to understand and experience the environmental, social and cultural impacts of an operating copper mine as part of the Proponent's commitment to FPIC. The visit provided a valuable opportunity for NWAC Elders and BoD members to gain deeper awareness and understanding of the Proposal's potential impacts and make an informed decision about what happens on their Country. The visit to OT helped solidify the engagement and strengthen the relationship between the Proponent and NWAC.	
26 July 2023	Presentation to Nyangumarta Community at CLH Meeting	- Proposal update - Planning Agreement - Social Surroundings - EPA Referral Submission	1. Discussion regarding TSF options and potential impacts to sites of cultural significance. 2. The Proponent provided a Proposal update to CLH - no additional response/outcomes or actions.	1. NWAC acknowledged the learnings from OT and agreed Social Surroundings on-ground consultation will further inform the design and location of the TSF. Note: following on ground Social Surroundings consultations NWAC provided advice that the Proponent should pursue design option 5H. This was viewed as the preferred option as it places the TSF at a greater distance away from known Nyangumarta ACH values.
9 – 14 August 2023	Social Surroundings Engagement on Country	- Proposal overview - Planning key mine infrastructure (in particular evaluating various options for the locations of TSFs) - ACH considerations such as site and dune management requirements	1. Refer to Chapter 6.	1. Key NWAC recommendations: - TSF 5H being NWACs preferred option (fewer dunes, no impacts to sites) - Move (salvage) the two objects (manuports) from the site at the WRL location and store them at the site at the western end of the original TSF with the provision that the land is used to afford a better WRL outcome (for closure). - Continued minimisation of dune impacts (e.g. modest ramps through the dunes rather than to level ground for the access roads). Note: The Proponent has committed to limiting impacts to sand dunes throughout the Proposal area and impacts to sand dunes will be limited as far as reasonably practical. - Dust reduction (such as sealing) the section of road north of the site at the initial proposed camp. ACH site monitoring regimes, signage and delineation is also required. Note: The

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				Proponent has committed to seal the access road. - Incorporating the tree stand as part of the new camp for people's enjoyment (e.g. walking track and picnic area with mobile coverage). Note: The Proponent has committed to co-design the camp with Nyangumarta and ensure tree stand is incorporated within the camp design. - Bypass Camp 1 to minimise traffic. Note: The Proponent has committed to divert the access road away from Camp 1.
14 September 2023	Technical Meeting	- Planning Agreement - Project Agreement - Debrief of Mongolia - Co-design update on bilby and weed wash approach - Social Surroundings	1. Co-design update on Bilby Conservation and Management Plan and weed wash approach. 2. The Proponent discussed key recommendations received from NWAC following Social Surroundings on ground consultation and Proposal commitments.	1. NWAC and the Proponent agreed to locate weed wash within Nyangumarta's IPA. The Proponent invited NWAC representatives to visit weed wash facility. Note: The Proponent and NWAC representatives visited a weed wash facility in September. Note: Bilby Conservation and Management Plan now encompassed within the Environmental Management Plan (EMP). 2. NWAC emphasised the importance of recognising and celebrating Nyangumarta culture. The Proponent committed to co-design the camp with NWAC and ensure cultural considerations are encompassed within design.
19 September 2023	Signing of Project Planning Agreement	Signing of Project Planning Agreement	1. The Proponent and NWAC acknowledged the strength of their collaborative relationship and reaffirmed their mutual commitment to progressing toward a final Project Agreement.	
29 September 2023	EPA referral document	EPA referral document	1. Draft version of the Section 38 EPA referral form, Proposal content description and EPA referral supporting document provided for NWAC review and comment.	1. Feedback incorporated into final referral documents lodged with the EPA.
9 – 11 October 2023	Social Surroundings Engagement in Perth	- TSF and WRL designs and new locations - Overall mine layout changes, ore and topsoil stockpiles, processing and Run of Mine facilities - Risks and controls associated with the TSF and WRL - Water story - Closure, including landforms, rehabilitation, site access, safety and environmental impacts and monitoring requirements	1. Refer to Chapter 6.	

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
		Pit lake at closure	2. The Proponent presented and discussed the pit lake at closure – including modelling; environmental risk assessment; PAF considerations; potential closure seepage from TSF expected to flow towards pit lake; and pit bunding.	2. Within the Social Surroundings Preliminary Advice Report, NWAC provided the following recommendations in response to closure and the pit lake: - The Proponent must rethink the closure strategy for the TSF given the likely degradation and failure of the HST basal liner over time and the impacts this will have on cover performance (due to substantial consolidation of the HST) and the receiving environment. - The Proponent must consider constructing interim NAF rock covers over PAF rock cells in the WRL and PAF rock stockpiles (e.g., low-grade stockpiles) during operations to restrict acid, metalliferous and saline drainage. Refer to Chapter 6 for outcomes.
17 October 2023	Board Meeting	- Planning Agreement - Project Agreement update - ACH - Social Surroundings	1. General discussion regarding updated proposed Proposal layout and information to share with CLH. NWAC emphasised the importance of safe access roads. 2. Discussion regarding Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) process.	1. NWAC provided feedback regarding road safety and water significance. Request from NWAC to seal the access road to ensure safe usage and dust mitigation. Note: following request from NWAC and recommendation from Social Surroundings consultation, the RAC will be sealed. 2. When discussing the VIA photos - NWAC advised the Proponent that a Nyangumarta representative must be present when photos are taken at areas of cultural significance. Note: Nyangumarta monitor present during photos taken at Winu Soak.
20-21 November 2023	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Project Agreement - Processes / protocol for incorporating Social Surroundings information EPA Referral - VIA	1. The Proponent and NWAC discussed Proposal update and timings.	1. When discussing timeframes, NWAC requested the timing and sequencing of the design and construction processes. The Proponent committed to providing high level sequencing which was shared with NWAC. NWAC reminder to not forget the Nyangumarta cultural information centre at the campsite and cultural place / cooking place area at camp, along with a place to perform dancing. <i>"We want our own area there where we can go and spend time"</i> - The Proponent committed to co-design the camp with NWAC and the inclusion of a cultural place.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
22 November 2023	Presentation to Nyangumarta Community at CLH Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Planning Agreement - Project Agreement - Social Surroundings - EPA Referral submission	1. The Proponent provided a Proposal update to the CLH.	1. No feedback, outcomes or actions received.
23 January 2024	Technical Meeting	- EPA and EPBC update - Collaboration with BP (AREH) - Social Surroundings and TSF ACH sites	1. The Proponent presented three options for access roads into the Proposal, including a shared road with BP. 2. Discussion regarding archaeological and ethnographic boundaries of ACH site located near TSF and reclaim ponds. Final reports were pending but the Proponent sought guidance from NWAC on the recommended buffer in order to progress design of TSF and reclaim ponds. 3. Discussion regarding the water status for the Proposal.	1. Discussion regarding factors considered for potential road alignment through AREH. NWAC requested meeting to be scheduled between the Proponent, BP and NWAC to discuss information sharing and road design. Note: The Proponent, NWAC and BP have since held several meetings to explore opportunities. 2. Receipt of ACH Reports and ongoing Social Surroundings consultation to inform decision regarding archaeological and ethnographic boundaries of ACH site. 3. When discussing water, NWAC advised they have engaged a water consultant to undertake water situational analysis and provide strategic advice around licensing. NWAC are keen to explore how NWAC can have more control over water as the most important natural and cultural resource. The Proponent advised that water stewardship has been included in the Project Agreement.
25 March 2024	Technical Meeting	- Water update - ACH update - Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent presented the proposed hydrogeological program to take place in four locations on Nyangumarta County: Wallal/Wallal east; Barnicarndy Graben; infiltration ponds; and mine access road. 2. The Proponent advised the water balance has identified periods where surplus water needs to be managed (shared surplus water options previously communicated with NWAC). The proposed option for managing water is infiltration ponds. The Proponent shared the current proposed location for two infiltration ponds.	1. The Proponent advised further works (including field trials, geophysics survey, geotechnical and ACH survey) are required to support ongoing modelling and design of the infiltration ponds. When discussing water sources, NWAC advised it is important to have a good understanding of where the water is coming from and if water from a different aquifer is being injected into the area this is a cultural concern for Nyangumarta that needs to be considered. The Proponent committed to providing NWAC with all the Technical and Environmental Reports related to these works.
15 – 19 April 2024	Social Surroundings	- Visual amenity - Land access - Renewable infrastructure	1. Refer to Chapter 6.	

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
	Engagement on Country			
20 May 2024	Technical Meeting	- Project Agreement - Proposal update - Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent presented the proposed geotechnical work and test pitting required every 1km to support borrow investigations along the access road. 2. Discussion regarding proposed road alignment and sharing a road with BP.	1. Discussion regarding the potential impacts of borrow pits on Country and fauna. Note: The Proponent has prepared a Borrow Pit Management Plan to be endorsed by NWAC. 2. Comment from NWAC regarding the possibility for a shared road between the Proponent and BP; and re-enforced NWAC's strong desire to explore possibility of shared roads.
25 – 30 June 2024	Social Surroundings Engagement on Country	Flora	1. Refer to Chapter 6.	
15 July 2024 16 July 2024	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Project Agreement - Proposal update - ACH surveys and Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent presented the two proposed camp design options to NWAC which incorporated NWAC's request to incorporate the stand of trees within the design. All discussed that the camp will continue to be co-designed with NWAC. 2. Discussion regarding logistics, transport and road access.	1. NWAC indicated their preference was for camp design 2. This was later endorsed by NWAC via an email on 7 November 2024. 2. Query from NWAC regarding copper being trucked out in closed containers - the Proponent advised closed containers through to port. NWAC also queried what road sealing means - the Proponent advised the roads will be a typical mine site standard chip-seal and provided further information. NWAC queried if the section of road that passes the old camp location will be sealed - the Proponent confirmed it would.
19 – 20 August 2024	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement discussion - ACH surveys and Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent presented scope for the RAC including cultural and environmental considerations; water supply for road construction; basis of design; borrow material; turkey's nests; and proposed alignment.	1. When discussing the roads: - NWAC queried the need for additional tenure for borrow material. The Proponent advised all proposed areas are currently within existing licences and ACH cleared areas. - The Proponent committed to co-design an Access to Country Management Plan with NWAC. - NWAC queried access to water dams and tanks along the access roads and stressed the need to protect people and wildlife and if fences could be installed, similar to OT. The Proponent committed to exploring alternate

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			2. The Proponent discussed changing the Proposal definition for mineral processing and ensuring all potential impacts are understood and shared with NWAC.	options for water storage (i.e. tanks rather than turkey's nest) and continuing to engage with NWAC on a suitable solution. 2. NWAC requested that the proposed change be submitted in writing for consideration by the BoD. NWAC also highlighted the need to monitor water drawdowns, particularly in relation to potential impacts on Winu Soak. The Proponent committed to updating the Technical and Environmental Reports to reflect the revised Proposal scope and to ensure that all potential impacts on Country are assessed and understood. Note: Endorsement received from NWAC via email on 25 September 2024 to amend the definition.
3 September 2024	Weed wash visit	-	1. The Proponent and NWAC representatives visited a weed wash truck wash unit in Neerabup to understand the operations and maintenance requirements of the facility.	
9 – 11 September 2024	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement discussion - ACH surveys and Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent provided an update on night parrot detections and ongoing works.	1. NWAC advised that Nyangumarta Rangers should be involved with any night parrot works on Country. The Proponent confirmed the opportunity for Nyangumarta and Martu Rangers to work together on night parrot habitat mapping and Acoustic Recording Units (ARUs) analysis monitoring.
21-22 October 2024	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement discussion	1. The Proponent advised that further work determined the camp location is within a flood plain so has been moved slightly north-east.	1. NWAC acknowledged the stand of trees has been incorporated into the camp design and keen to understand future vegetation at the camp. The Proponent committed to co-design the camp with Nyangumarta.
25-26 November 2024	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Social Surroundings and on Country work overview - Project Agreement discussion	1. The Proponent discussed the location and design of the TSF. 2. The Proponent presented and discussed the clean water dam.	1. NWAC queried the interaction with the dunes. The Proponent responded that as directed by Nyangumarta, the plan is, wherever possible, to use the sand dunes to assist in the walls of the TSF. 2. When discussing the clean water dam, NWAC queried why not just build bigger storage - the Proponent responded that evaporation needs to be considered along with economics. The Proponent advised that NWAC's feedback regarding the safety of fauna has been taken into consideration.
13 19 March 2025	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement - ACH and Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent presented revised Development Envelope and conceptual footprint	1. When discussing the RAC, NWAC queried shared road with BP, and advised preference is for shared road between the Proponent and BP

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			- including key Proposal changes from initial EPA referral. 2. Discussion regarding ACH surveys. 3. The Proponent provided an update on the infiltration study progress including preliminary results and next steps. 4. The Proponent provided an update on the night parrot work - removal of Texas borefield from the Proposal; DBCA liaison; ongoing monitoring and habitat mapping. 5. The Proponent provided an update on regional exploration activities. 6. The Proponent provided an update on the stygofauna program at Rimfire - sampling; results, implications and next steps - as well as other fauna within the Proposal area.	and not two roads. The Proponent acknowledged NWAC's preference and advised continued discussions with BP and Main Roads WA on opportunities, requirements and approvals. 2. NWAC queried the process of artefacts that have been found and finding artefacts in the future - the Proponent and NWAC committed to co-design the CHMP. 3. The Proponent committed to providing NWAC ongoing updates on the infiltration study progress and provision of Technical and Environmental Reports once available. 4. NWAC acknowledged positive work on night parrot monitoring and habitat mapping. 5. When discussing regional exploration activities NWAC emphasised the cultural significance of Winu Soak and asked if exploration drilling will impact the Winu Soak. The Proponent has committed to co-designing a soak monitoring strategy. 6. Broader discussion on fauna included the Proponent committing to work with Nyangumarta Rangers on feral animal and fire management. Commitment from the Proponent to work with NWAC and Rangers on a Care for Country Management Plan.
1 - 4 April 2025	Social Surroundings Engagement in Perth	• Updated TSF designs • Revised dune impacts • Noise impacts and noise footprints associated with wind turbines • Wind turbines – closure options • Solar farm design and installation methodology • Water – Ongoing water works • Waste Management (Camp waste disposal)	1. Refer to Chapter 6.	

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
		Mine pit and safe closure	1. In response to feedback from NWAC, the Proponent presented and discussed safe pit closure measures including: - Safety closure bund – review of design options aimed at minimising potential harm to people and deterring wildlife from entering the pit area. - Additional access controls – retention of operational windrows/bunds, ramp ripping, and ramp removal to further restrict access. - The Proponent noted that the pit lake water level is expected to rebound to more than 50 m below the existing groundwater level, which will deter access by both humans and fauna due to the significant depth to water. 2. The Proponent presented and discussed projected pit lake water levels with illustrations showing from prior to mining, end of mine, 50 years, and 90 years after mining ends. 3. The Proponent presented and discussed water level recovery modelling, including sensitivity assessments relating to depth, groundwater recovery, and potential seepage from the TSF. 4. The Proponent presented and discussed acid and metalliferous drainage (AMD) considerations, including PAF and NAF material behaviour and expected pit lake water quality outcomes. 5. The Proponent presented and discussed the ecological risk assessment for the pit lake.	1. Within the Social Surroundings Preliminary Advice Report, NWAC provided seven recommendations related to the design and construction of the safety bund. In response, the Proponent prepared the <i>Winu Safety Bund Memo</i>. The Proponent confirmed that NWAC's recommendations will be incorporated into the Mine Closure Plan and committed to keeping NWAC informed of key discussions and outcomes. 2. Within the Social Surroundings Preliminary Advice Report, NWAC provided three recommendations regarding the timeframe for geochemistry modelling for the pit lake. NWAC raised concerns that the current pit lake geochemistry model was run for 300 years, and this was not a sufficient timeframe for salinity and other analytes to reach a plateau. The Proponent advised that beyond 300 years there can be significant uncertainty with predictions due to impacts such as climate and environmental changes and hence it would be misleading to use absolute predictive values instead of the trend model or a more qualitative or narrative analysis. A conscious decision was made to make the period of evaluation 300 years. The Proponent provided a figure over a 1,000 year model with a caveat that there is considerable uncertainty with the modelling predictions beyond 300 years and the absolute values should not be considered reliable. This was noted by NWAC. Refer to Chapter 6 for additional information and outcomes from engagement.
8 April 2025	-	EPA Section 43A Amendment documentation	Draft version of the Section 43A EPA form, revised Proposal Content Description and Section 43A supporting document provided for NWAC review and comment.	Feedback incorporated into final S43A Amendment documents lodged with the EPA.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
28 – 30 April 2025	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement and Management Plan Implementation - ACH and Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent presented the design intent for the RAC. The Proponent discussed the requirement to upgrade the existing temporary site access roads to mitigate risks, allow water runoff and facilitate two-way traffic. 2. The Proponent presented the requirement for borrow pits and water storage along the RAC for construction. 3. The Proponent presented an update on the weed wash facility with the intent that all vehicles and equipment must be inspected and cleaned of vegetation, mud and soil prior to entry and exit. The Proponent shared weed wash requirements; and all discussed business development opportunity for Nyangumarta; and coordination with BP.	1. When discussing the RAC, NWAC advised they do not want the roads to be degraded and made hollower as the water will stay and not flow out. The Proponent acknowledged NWAC's position on the roads. The Proponent advised that through discussions with Main Roads WA and BP there will only be one entry point onto Nyangumarta Highway for both proponents. Main Roads WA also confirmed they had no concerns for the existing Great Northern Highway (GNH) and Nyangumarta Highway to be the intersection location if suitable to all parties (including the Nyangumarta people). Query from NWAC regarding single or double lane access roads - the Proponent confirmed the access roads will be dual lane. 2. Following guidance from NWAC to mitigate potential environmental and fauna impacts, the Proponent is exploring water storage in bladders/tanks rather than turkey's nests. The Proponent acknowledged that borrow pits will be large but will be free draining where possible, and where not will work with NWAC to conduct monitoring to ensure fauna is safe. The Proponent committed to preparing Borrow Pit Management Plan to be endorsed by NWAC. 3. Discussion on location of weed wash facility with NWAC advising appropriate location within the Nyangumarta IPA. The Proponent committed to co-design the design and final location with NWAC.
26 – 27 May 2025	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement - ACH and Social Surroundings	1. Discussion between the Proponent and NWAC re: NWAC's recommendation from Social Surroundings 5 regarding closure solution for HST.	1. The Proponent and NWAC agreed to a meeting with NWAC Director of Research, NWAC's external technical advisors/consultants and the Proponent to discuss the closure solution options for HST. Note: Agreed outcomes have been captured within the MCP following consultation between

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				the Proponent, NWAC and NWAC's external consultants. The confirmed closure option will be implemented after the extraction of all economically viable mineralisation and will be detailed within the MCP to be submitted with the Mining Proposal to DMPE prior to mining.
17 June 2025	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement - ACH	1. The Proponent presented the proposed Management Plan overview, framework and examples of the Access to Country and Care for Country Management Plans.	1. The Proponent and NWAC committed to co-design the Management Plans during the two year approvals process. The Proponent committed to ensuring all NWAC recommendations from the Social Surroundings are captured within the Project Agreement or relevant Management Plan.
27 – 28 August 2025	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Project Agreement - ERD update - ACH	1. The Proponent provided an update on timeframe for the accommodation camp.	1. The Proponent and NWAC reaffirmed commitment to co-design the accommodation camp.
10 September 2025	Board Meeting	- Proposal update – offsets - Project Agreement	1. Discussion regarding ERD Offsets chapter.	1. NWAC were keen to further understand future commitments, implementation and any potential impacts to IPA funding. The Proponent and NWAC committed to further engagement and ensuring commitments are captured within Project Agreement and relevant Management Plan. Note: through later correspondence, NWAC requested that the Proponent engage with NWAC on the matter of offsets at the earliest possible opportunity. The Proponent acknowledged the importance of ensuring that any offset strategy complements NWAC's existing conservation and land management initiatives and committed to working collaboratively with NWAC to achieve this.
20 – 21 October 2025	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Proposal update - How the Proponent has worked with Nyangumarta to shape the Proposal - Project Agreement	1. The Proponent provided an updated on the Proposal timeframes, including the ERD submission.	1. NWAC requested a meeting with the EPA to discuss process and ensure culturally sensitive information will be redacted. Note meeting between NWAC, the EPA and the Proponent held on 27 October 2025.
22 October 2025	Presentation to Nyangumarta	Proposal Update	1. The Proponent provided a Proposal update to the CLH.	1. No feedback, outcomes or actions received.

Date/s	Consultation type / forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
	Community at CLH Meeting	- How the Proponent has worked with Nyangumarta to shape the Proposal - Project Agreement		
4 November 2025	Board Meeting	- ERD update - Project Agreement	1. The Proponent provided an update on the Proposal timeframes, including the ERD submission.	1. No feedback, outcomes or actions received.
15 April 2026 6 May 2026	Technical Meeting Board Meeting	- Telstra – Optic Fibre Cable (OFC) - Environmental update - ACH - ERD update	1. The Proponent provided an update on the OFC requirements for the Proposal, including the installation method and potential route options. 2. The Proponent provided an update on ongoing night parrot monitoring and thanked Nyangumarta Rangers for their participation and engagement in recent on-Country activities. 3. The Proponent provided an update on Proposal timeframes, including the ERD submission, EPA feedback and queries, and the Proponent's responses. 4. The Proponent provided an update on ACH surveys completed during the first half of 2026, and discussed requirements and NWAC's guidance regarding the scale and scope of the Section 18 application.	1. Telstra had issued a Land Access and Activity Notice to NWAC for investigative assessments. The Proponent committed to keeping NWAC informed as work progresses and the preferred route is confirmed. 2. The Proponent will continue to invite Nyangumarta Rangers to participate in on-Country environmental activities. 3. The Proponent invited NWAC Board members and Elders to attend the EPA site visit. NWAC will confirm participants. 4. Following the meeting, NWAC provided guidance on the Section 18 process. Site Assessment works are scheduled for May 2026.

Martu/JYAC Engagement - 2019-2025

The table below outlines engagements relevant to the Proposal between the Proponent, Martu and JYAC (previously known as Western Desert Lands Aboriginal Corporation – WDLAC, but referred to in this document as JYAC only) including Technical, Board, and CLH Meetings. Agenda item reflects the discussions held relevant to the Proposal, the two additional columns highlight Proposal specific matters directly related to the EPA's ERD, along with the corresponding responses, actions, or commitments made.

Given the breadth of content covered in each meeting, the Proponent has focused on highlighting items most relevant to the EPA. However, further detail can be provided upon request.

For more comprehensive information on the Proponent's engagement with Martu refer to Chapter 6.

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
20 September 2019	Board Meeting	- Proposed Proposal development - Hydro update - Environmental update	1. The Proponent provided an update on the proposed Proposal development. The Proponent advised it is seeking in principle approval for the Proposal by end of 2019. 2. The Proponent presented and discussed the extension and upgrade of the RFDS existing airstrip and apron, with the intention that the extension would allow FIFO. 3. The Proponent provided update on Proposal location and RAC.	1. During Proposal update: - JYAC queried if all mineralisation found is only on neighbouring Nyangumarta Country. The Proponent advised that all volume exists entirely on Nyangumarta Country. - The Proponent confirmed that the airstrip and an unsealed road that connects the airstrip to the Proposal exploration site and camp is on Martu Ngurra. - JYAC queried what post mining looks like - the Proponent advised there are state regulatory obligations for closure and is committed to working with JYAC on closure. - JYAC advised that Martu People have their own cultural process. The Proponent committed to transparent engagement and bringing Traditional Owners on the journey in efforts to achieve and maintain FPIC - including regular communication and meetings. 2. In response to the airstrip conversation, JYAC advised their interest is infrastructure opportunities and queried other infrastructure planned south of the border - the Proponent advised that at this stage only the airstrip is located on Martu Ngurra. 3. When discussing Nyangumarta Highway as the main access road - JYAC queried if that was the same as Beach Road - the Proponent advised different roads and Beach Road is still a track.

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			4. The Proponent provided a hydro update for the Proposal, advising at this stage the Proposal believes there will be sufficient water available from the dewatering of the proposed mining area. The Proponent advised that water studies are progressing, but localised drawdowns will have minimal impact on regional scale. 5. The Proponent provided an environmental update including findings from recent fauna surveys where bilby burrows were identified but no evidence of night parrots from acoustic recordings.	4. When discussing water, JYAC queried if sub-surface water will be put on the surface - the Proponent responded that the Proposal was exploring evaporation ponds or reinjection. 5. During the environmental update, JYAC stated that they have seen night parrots in other places and referenced night parrot dreaming.
25 September 2019	Board Meeting	Proposed Proposal development	1. The Proponent and JYAC discussed the current water requirements for the Proposal.	1. JYAC advised that water is a sensitive topic and queried if the pit dewatering will affect Martu Ngurra. The Proponent provided a response and advised that water studies are still underway and committed to providing all Technical and Environmental Reports to JYAC. JYAC reinforced mantra <i>"Nothing about Martu, without Martu"</i>. 2. JYAC queried the Proponent's intention to complete extended cumulative impacts - looking at broader long term impacts, not just the Proposal - the Proponent advised open to further discussion with JYAC and would be discussed through ongoing engagements.
18 October 2019	Board Meeting	- Status to date - The Proposal on Martu Ngurra - Key themes discussed to date: - Developing a collaborative and robust relationship 'In-principle support' - Collaboration opportunities	1. The Proponent and JYAC discussed the current water requirements for the Proposal.	1. When discussing the water for the Proposal, JYAC queried the status of the water studies and understanding the potential impacts. JYAC advised the water could be flowing from Martu Ngurra and advised there are also spiritual and cultural considerations in regards to water. The Proponent responded that they will be guided from Martu on this traditional knowledge.
14-15 November 2019	Board Meeting (including first engagement with Pitjikirli Elders)	- Proposed Proposal development - Tenure and supporting infrastructure (Airstrip) - Hydro update - Participation - employment and business development overview - Environmental update	1. The Proponent presented and discussed proposed Proposal development.	1. When discussing the proposed Proposal development; - JYAC queried if the Proponent would rehabilitate the WRL to make it look more natural - the Proponent advised the Proposal's responsibility is to have land managed as naturally as possible and the Proponent is already considering mine closure. - JYAC queried opportunities for Aboriginal participation and business opportunities with rehabilitation - the Proponent acknowledged and confirmed.

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			2. The Proponent presented and discussed RFDS airstrip. 3. The Proponent asked Martu (Pitjikirli) People to indicate if there is in-principle support for the Proposal development or any critical concerns in relation to the Proposal infrastructure on their Country.	- JYAC acknowledged the impact of the Proposal and that the landscape would change (illustration of the sand dunes at ~15-20m compared to the WRL ~60m). - All parties emphasised the importance of a positive relationship between JYAC and the Proponent. 2. When discussing the airstrip; - JYAC advised the airstrip was not to be extended until the Proposal was approved for production, if an extension is being explored JYAC must be consulted. The Proponent acknowledged and committed to ensuring JYAC are engaged with all decisions regarding the airstrip. - JYAC advised Elders have said they want JVs to run the airstrip - JYAC provided examples of transfer of ownership over a number of years. All agreed the airstrip will continue to be a key discussion point. - JYAC queried opportunity for tourism and capacity for extra flights - the Proponent advised there is potential for all to be discussed. 3. In principle support resolution passed for the Proposal at the November JYAC Board Meeting. - Letter received from JYAC on 24 December 2019 providing in principle support: <i>"the JYAC Board supports continuing discussions in 2020, is not aware of any road blocks that should prevent the Proposal from proceeding and will be a negotiating partner should the Proponent decide to proceed with the Proposal"</i>.
6 May 2020	Board Meeting	Proposal update	1. The Proponent presented geotechnical investigations and test pitting required for borrow and ground stability. 2. The Proponent advised that Commonwealth Government approvals are required because biological surveys identified the presence of greater bilby in the development area which is an important species under the EPBC Act.	1. In relation to borrow pits, JYAC raised the importance of rehabilitation in relation to the additional borrow pit areas proposed as well as the existing borrow pit. 2. When discussing the Bilby Management Plan, JYAC requested copies of all reports relating to, and draft of, the Bilby Management Plan. The Proponent committed to providing these documents, as well as all Technical and Environmental Reports when available. All discussed

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				the opportunity for JYAC to be involved with developing and implementing the Bilby Management Plan.
26 May 2020	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Water update - Environmental update - Approvals pathway	1. The Proponent provided an update on water for the Proposal. 2. The Proponent provided an environmental update including requirements for rehabilitation. 3. The Proponent provided an update on the approvals pathway required for the Proposal.	1. JYAC are very interested in water - the Proponent committed to presenting and discussing water in greater detail when physical meetings re-commence with the aid of 3D and other visuals to outline the various details. 2. Martu expressed interest in rehabilitation from an environmental perspective as well as business development opportunity. The Proponent committed to an environmental update being maintained as an ongoing engagement topic. 3. When discussing the Bilby Management Plan, the Proponent committed to co-design with Martu. Note: The Bilby Management Plan is incorporated into EMP. Both the EMP and the Offset Strategy (which is centred on the Bilby) have been provided to JYAC to review, contribute and endorse.
11 September 2020	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Hydro studies update - Update from study team on proposed airport and road infrastructure/layout - Structure for airstrip operations - Conservation and rehabilitation - ACH work - EPBC /EPA update	1. The Proponent and JYAC discussed the Citadel site (Rio Tinto exploration tenure within Great Sandy Desert) visit. 2. The Proponent provided an update on water studies for the Proposal including information on the soaks in the region. The Proponent advised the soaks are believed to be areas of localised shallow groundwater and not linked to the deep aquifer where drawdown will occur.	1. When discussing Citadel site visit:, JYAC described Rio Tinto Exploration rehabilitation of historic JV ground disturbance as '<i>gold standard</i>' and would like to reference that standard for other operators in the region. 2. When discussing the soaks; - JYAC queried if the understanding was universal because Martu People have pointed out ephemeral plants that could indicate water is present year round, in dune swales for example. JYAC queried if studies include Traditional Owner information/knowledge of the soaks – and how usage will affect soaks. The Proponent provided information on the test pumping process and that monitoring bores would be located near the operation and further away to help understand how the groundwater system is being impacted. The Proponent committed to providing Martu all Technical and Environmental Reports as the study progresses. - Martu queried the water requirement for construction and operation of airstrip - JYAC advised that the native title status of Martu includes surface and subterranean water. 3. When discussing the proposed airstrip and infrastructure:

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			3. The Proponent presented the proposed airport and infrastructure.	- JYAC advised they want to be involved in all aspects of the Proposal, even in conceptual stage, not seeing end results only. The Proponent committed to transparent engagement with Martu regarding all aspects of the Proposal. - JYAC queried if the airstrip is for the Proposal only, or for other satellite projects and JV partners - the Proponent confirmed specific purpose of engagement is for the Proposal only.
20 October 2020	Board Meeting	- Agreement - EPA Referral update	1. The Proponent advised the proposed ACH survey scope was in relation to the airstrip and associated infrastructure. 2. The Proponent presented the requirement for Martu Cultural Awareness training for the Proposal's workforce including contractors.	1. When discussing the proposed airport, JYAC mentioned Pitjkarli have got a name for the airport. Note: The JYAC Board proposed "Karlkayn Aerodrome Proposal Agreement" for the Project Agreement in relation to the new airstrip and road on Martu Ngurra. This was adopted by the Proposal. 2. JYAC advised they wish to develop a new Cultural Awareness program.
24 November 2020	Negotiation Meeting	- Proposal update - Draft Karlkayn Aerodrome Project Agreement - Approvals pathway	1. The Proponent presented proposed access road and upgrade of approximately 6km of unsealed road from native title boundary to airstrip, including rehab of borrow pits, construction laydown areas, access tracks etc. 2. The Proponent provided an update on the Proposal's proposed water use and management, including emphasising the known importance of water to Martu People. 3. The Proponent presented and narrated the Proposal flyover. The Proponent advised that the key is for JYAC to have all the information they	When discussing the access roads, JYAC queried why existing tracks are not upgraded instead of a new road. The Proponent advised this was the shortest distance and to minimise additional crossings over the dunes. 2. When discussing water: - JYAC queried if the Proposal was specifically dewatering the deep aquifer and if modelling had been completed for water above the clay. The Proponent advised water studies are ongoing and committed to providing JYAC all Technical and Environmental Reports as the study progresses. - Martu is interested in the dewatering because there might be impacts on drawdown modelling in Martu Ngurra. JYAC requested visual diagram of drawdown, and whether drawdown impacts Martu Ngurra. The Proponent committed to providing a drawdown map. 3. When discussing the Proposal flyover key discussion points were: - JYAC queried the height of the TSF and WRL. The Proponent advised that the Proposal has attempted to refine the footprint impacts as small as possible resulting in higher landforms.

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			need to provide their consent (aligning with FPIC principles). The Proponent will honour <i>"Nothing about Martu, without Martu"</i> .	JYAC queried why the camp was to the west. The Proponent advised that the area was sterilised with no mineralisation whereas the east side has mineralisation potential.
19 April 2021	Technical Meeting	- Water update - ACH	1. The Proponent and Martu discussed recent ACH surveys.	1. When discussing ACH, Martu Elders emphasised the importance of exercising discipline when encountering ACH sites or artefacts. They provided clear guidance that no ACH sites or artefacts are to be moved.
30 April 2021	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Proposal Agreement - EPA Part IV Referral update - ACH	1. The Proponent presented and narrated the flyover. 2. The Proponent advised there is some concern that the reinjection area will be unable to accept	1. When discussing the flyover: - JYAC queried whether the access road will be sealed? The Proponent advised the road will be unsealed. - JYAC advised the dune crossings are of great interest. The Proponent paused the flyover at the dune crossing and spoke to the ramp up to dune crossing, crest over and ramp down. The Proposal requires several dune crossings both to the west and east of the infrastructure area. - JYAC asked the Proponent to confirm confidence that the mining footprint won't extend into Martu Ngurra. The Proponent advised that the mining lease application is not on Martu Ngurra. - JYAC queried deposit to the east. The Proponent advised mineralisation there still being explored, not a deposit. - JYAC queried that because there are no heavy trucks on the section of the road in Martu Ngurra, if the engineering for Martu Ngurra dune crossing could be lesser? The Proponent advised some heavy vehicles will be using that section including large buses, water trucks, fuel trucks, etc. - The Proponent advised that the gravel strip will remain in use during new airstrip construction. JYAC queried if the old airstrip would remain once new strip is constructed. The Proponent took the question on notice. - The Proponent advised that the flyover would be updated to show the dune crossing on Martu Ngurra. 2. When discussing surplus water: - JYAC queried if evaporation ponds are required where would they be located - the Proponent advised to the west of the mine infrastructure but locations are not confirmed and other options are still being considered, including water cannons and sprays.

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			the required volumes of surplus water. The Proposal is considering evaporation ponds. The Proponent advised it is conducting studies to determine how best to manage surplus water and committed to providing all Technical and Environmental Reports as the study progresses. 3. ACH survey update and discussion.	JYAC queried if the Proposal's environmental impact studies take into account impact on fauna from grey water. The Proponent advised the water will not be grey water. 3. The Proponent advised that following guidance from JYAC regarding the potential dune crossing on the access road to the airstrip, an additional potential dune crossing option with lower impact was considered. Both options were surveyed during recent ACH Survey and JYAC chose the new option (refer to ACH Report).
6 August 2021	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Proposal Agreement update - EPA Part IV Referral update	1. The Proponent presented an update on the Part IV consultation process.	1. JYAC advised the Proponent will need to consider Social Surroundings, and need to do a ACH and ecological study of Social Surroundings including VIA and other matters, all of which are important to the EPA. JYAC suggested meeting on Country to allow the group to talk - the Proponent to discuss design changes and mitigation strategies, and how off Country events (e.g. draw downs) might impact Martu Ngurra. The Proponent can provide an update on the status of the Proposal, changes made and proposed mitigation strategies. The Proponent and Martu discussed best approach for Social Surroundings engagement.
10 December 2021	Technical Meeting	- Proposal update - Agreement making - Social Surroundings - Airstrip	1. During the water update, the Proponent noted that the reference to Martu Ngurra and Rimfire being accessed for water exploration under the current 5C amendment is incorrect. The subject of the current 5C application increase to 300,000KL is to facilitate hydro testing on Nyangumarta Country detailed in the information provided. 2. The Proponent advised in terms of road safety, there is a current request for a road upgrade for the improvements to the airstrip access road, which are critical for continuous, safe access, ensuring unimpeded access to the RFDS airstrip for emergency purposes.	1. JYAC noted that they have consistently raised that use of water on the other side of the boundary has implications for Martu native title subterranean water rights. JYAC advised that when water is accessed on Nyangumarta Country it has implications for Martu Ngurra. The Proponent acknowledged and committed to ongoing engagement with JYAC regarding water studies and requirements for the Proposal. 2. JYAC queried that once the airstrip is upgraded, there will need to be a further upgrade to the road - the Proponent advised this would be part of the separate approvals and the major upgrade of the road won't occur until the airstrip is constructed. 3. During airstrip discussions:

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			3. The Proponent advised the proposed approach to the Karlkayn airstrip.	- JYAC raised the opportunity for some form of cultural interpretation entry point as part of terminal construction and the Martu campsite in the vicinity. - JYAC were pleased with the Proponent's business decision to extend the existing RFDS airstrip. - The Proponent committed to ongoing engagement with JYAC and Martu Elders if a bigger airstrip is required in the future. - The Proponent in principle agreed to a JV in establishment of airstrip and a labour hire arrangement with further discussions required on ownership and operation of the airstrip.
21 March 2022	Technical Meeting	- Proposal update - Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent provided an overview of water for the Proposal including the current water balance model showing a deficit. The Proponent presented potential regional water sources nearby - Wallal aquifer and Rimfire and Texas on Martu Ngurra. The Proponent is proposing some investigation which would involve drilling, monitoring bores, pumping and analysis. The Proponent acknowledged the feedback from Martu regarding the importance of water. 2. Discussion regarding potential surface water features on Martu Ngurra and understanding cumulative impact.	1. When discussing water: - The Proponent and JYAC discussed the request for exploration, potential impacts, ensuring both have an understanding of water a life source and seeking JYAC's consent - JYAC advised they support the Proposal, but with careful consideration of water impacts and respectful engagement. The Proponent recognised that JYAC must be involved in decisions, especially regarding water access and cultural impacts. - The Proponent committed to providing all Technical and Environmental Reports as the water study progresses. 2. Martu advised their preference is for a coordinated approach by all Proponents working on Martu Ngurra.
20 May 2022	Technical Meeting	- Revised timelines for EPA Part IV - Social Surroundings - Water stewardship	1. The Proponent and Martu discussed the process and implications for an Interim Project Agreement and EPA Part IV Referral. 2. Discussion regarding cultural landscape management and Social Surroundings requirements.	1. JYAC advised priority is on cultural landscape management, with Martu epistemology/ontology as the starting point. JYAC confirmed they are supportive of the Proposal and provided direction to the Proponent to come onto Martu landscape and negotiate where the Proposal sits on Martu Ngurra. 2. JYAC advised their view of Social Surroundings is holistic, considering water, plants, animals, people and how Martu People use these for their day-to-day lives.
2 February 2023	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Agreement status	1. The Proponent presented an update on the Karlkayn airstrip - current design is to overlay existing RFDS airstrip; alternatively the new	1. No feedback received from JYAC.

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
		Request to JYAC Board (using the airstrip to support activities)	airstrip could be adjacent to current RFDS airstrip. 2. The Proponent presented and narrated the updated flyer. 3. The Proponent requested approval from Martu to use the current RFDS airstrip to support studies and exploration works - the airstrip is currently used only for medical emergencies, the Proponent would like to extend the use of the airstrip to transport personnel to site to support the study and further exploration.	2. During the flyover, JYAC emphasised that construction of infrastructure needs to reflect Martu's intention of minimising impact on Country and maximising benefits - including all Proponent's working together on infrastructure corridors. The Proponent acknowledged and committed to working with Martu on co-design of the Proposal. 3. When discussing the airstrip JYAC queried if the airstrip would be widened - the Proponent confirmed no widening required.
17 May2023	Technical Meeting	- Karlkayn Agreement - Proposal future requirements; water exploration & pipeline - Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent discussed future Proposal requirements - stygofauna drilling; continuing water exploration; pipelines for water to the mine; use of the airstrip; and building the capacity of JYAC to operate and maintain the airstrip.	1. Discussion regarding future Proposal requirements: - JYAC advised stygofauna are an important part of naturally cleaning water, removing bacteria, viruses and chemicals. - The Proponent was advised that JYAC have granted permission to explore for water at Rimfire and Texas - if testing comes back viable the next stage will be to discuss a pipeline corridor to get the water to the mine as this will impact the Proposal footprint. The Proponent acknowledged and committed to co-design all future Proposal requirements with Martu. - JYAC advised that water is the number one priority. - JYAC advised that winter produces strong easterly winds and queried if dust modelling has been completed - the Proponent confirmed and committed to providing all Technical and Environmental Reports to JYAC. - JYAC briefly discussed positive impacts the Proposal will bring - improving accessing on Martu Ngurra, greater understanding of the cultural landscape and IPA; economic base for better fire management, etc.
12 June 2023	Board Meeting	- Proposal overview - Karlkayn Agreement - Co-design	1. The Proponent presented and discussed co-design next steps including co-developing the Bilby Management Plan.	1. JYAC queried if the Proponent had an offset plan - the Proponent advised this was still to be discussed with Martu. JYAC advised that offset is multidisciplinary and needs to consider bilbies, night parrots, western skink, etc. JYAC confirmed that bilbies would not be considered in isolation but within understanding of the broader the

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				cultural landscape. Note: The Bilby Management Plan is incorporated into EMP. Both the EMP and the Offset Strategy have been provided to JYAC to review, contribute and endorse.
2 September 2023	CLH Meeting	The Proponent did not attend the meeting, however the Karlkayn Stage 1 Agreement was considered and endorsed. Note: Karlkayn Stage 1 Agreement included utilisation of the RFDS airstrip.		
6 September 2023	Letter	JYAC provided formal letter to execute Stage 1 Karlkayn Agreement - <i>"CLH considered and endorsed the proposed Karlkayn Stage 1 Agreement - on condition that JYAC consult with Martu CLH before finalising expenditure of monies paid under the Agreement..."</i>		
13 December 2023	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Karlkayn Agreement - ACH - EPA referral update - Social Surroundings and cultural values mapping	1. The Proponent thanked JYAC for their endorsement to utilise the airstrip and positive feedback from the broader Proposal team. The Proponent is now seeking to discuss design of temporary airstrip infrastructure. 2. The Proponent advised the Proposal would like to build an alternative airstrip adjacent to the RFDS airstrip. The current airstrip would need to be widened, lengthened and include an extra apron to accommodate the larger planes. 3. The Proponent provided an update on the water studies with reports for both Rimfire and Texas.	1. JYAC to consider request for temporary infrastructure and revert. The Proponent advised there may be a request for borrow material for ongoing maintenance close to the airstrip - if so, the Proponent will consult with JYAC. 2. JYAC acknowledged option to either extend the existing RFDS airstrip or constructing a new airstrip. The Proponent confirmed that the extension of the airstrip is within ACH cleared areas. JYAC noted an artefact had been located within close proximity and would need to be considered as part of the location planning. 3. When discussing water: - JYAC advised there were some strong reactions from senior Martu people at the recent AGM about extracting water for the Proposal. The Proponent and JYAC commit to working together to respond to these concerns including water stewardship. - JYAC advised the underground water connects all the way to Uluru and the Proponent needs to be careful not to impact areas where people camp. - Query from JYAC about collecting data regarding the rate of water recharging at the aquifers. The Proponent advised this information will be captured within the Technical and Environmental Reports provided to JYAC. - The Proponent committed to ensuring JYAC are consulted on all aspects of the Proposal and ensuring updated information is shared as the Study progresses. 4. JYAC to consider request and revert - also noting opportunities for Martu to be involved with the construction and operations of the associated

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			4. The Proponent presented borefields and pipelines update and seeking guidance from JYAC about engagement approach to discuss potential locations, acknowledging that the water viability for Rimfire and Texas is not yet understood, nor is usage agreed. 5. The Proponent presented and narrated the updated flyover.	infrastructure. 5. When discussing the flyover, JYAC queried if the existing airstrip will be rehabilitated once a new airstrip - the Proponent advised this was to be discussed with JYAC, however there would be a requirement for the existing airstrip to remain operational during construction of the new airstrip.
26 January 2024	Technical Meeting	- Proposal update - Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent and Martu discussed the request for temporary infrastructure at the airstrip. 2. The Proponent discussed approach and next steps for progressing cultural mapping and discussions around regional borefield pipeline.	1. When discussing the airstrip: JYAC requested the Proponent formally write to JYAC regarding the temporary infrastructure request. Note: the Proponent formally requested temporary infrastructure at the airstrip and Martu provided approval via email on 2 February 2024. 2. Martu reiterated that the preferred approach to progress pipeline routes is through cultural landscape mapping.
13 June 2024	Board Meeting	- Karlkayn Agreement - Proposal update	1. The Proponent provided an update on the Karlkayn airstrip. 2. The Proponent presented the results from the Rimfire and Texas modelling and stygofauna sampling. 3. The Proponent presented conceptual bore fields and pipeline route options and sought guidance from JYAC.	1. When discussing the airstrip, JYAC queried if the planes could fly to regional communities and collect participants for ACH surveys - the Proponent advised that if it is safe to do so it is a possibility that can be discussed with Aviair. 2. The Proponent advised that additional sampling campaigns may be required within existing bores and potential for additional sampling/reference bores. The Proponent committed to discussing proposed next steps/approach with JYAC. 3. JYAC queried reason for steel pipes not HDPE - the Proponent discussed benefits of steel pipeline compared to alternatives. All discussed that Martu representatives are conducting cultural values mapping of this area as a first step to confirm pipeline routes.
15 – 24 June 2024	Cultural values mapping	As part of initial Social Surroundings consultation JYAC provided instruction to the Proponent that in order for JYAC to understand the impacts to Country	The Proponent facilitated cultural values mapping on Martu Ngurra - refer to Refer to Chapter 6. Note: JYAC provided draft GIS preferred pipeline alignment.	

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
		and the associated cultural values of the region, that cultural values mapping of the region was required.		
26 August 2024	Board Meeting	- Proposal update - Karlkayn Agreement - Cultural values mapping and Social Surroundings	1. The Proponent advised that night parrot calls had been detected on Martu Ngurra. The Proponent advised there would be a requirement from the <i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i> (BC Act) for S.40 approvals for any ongoing works in the area. The Proponent provided an update on the regulator engagement plan; work program and next steps.	1. JYAC and the Proponent discussed opportunities to work with Martu Rangers on night parrot studies and other activities on Country. The Proponent committed to a collaborative night parrot management approach with JYAC.
7 November 2024	Martu Community Information Day	- Proposal update - Karlkayn airstrip - Night parrot and stygofauna update	The Proponent presented at the JYAC Community Information Day - Proposal update; Karlkayn airstrip (current usage and future plans); night parrot and stygofauna update.	
11 July 2025	Technical Social Surroundings Consultation	- Flyover - General update - key proposal changes and environmental approvals - ACH - Flora and fauna - Mine size comparison - Water - current understanding, status and use - Karlkayn airstrip - TSF – design and closure - Renewable energy – construction and closure - VIA - Air quality, noise and vibration - Land access	1. Refer to Chapter 6	
		Mine pit and safe closure	1. The Proponent presented and discussed safe pit closure measures including: Safety closure bund – review of design options aimed at minimising potential harm to people and deterring wildlife from entering the pit area.	No feedback, outcomes or actions received.

Date/s	Consultation type/forum	Agenda Items	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			- Additional access controls – retention of operational windrows/bunds, ramp ripping, and ramp removal to further restrict access. - The Proponent noted that the pit lake water level is expected to rebound to more than 50 m below the existing groundwater level, which will deter access by both humans and fauna due to the significant depth to water. 2. The Proponent presented and discussed projected pit lake water levels with illustrations showing from prior to mining, end of mine, 50 years, and 90 years after mining ends. 3. The Proponent presented and discussed water level recovery modelling, including sensitivity assessments relating to depth, groundwater recovery, and potential seepage from the TSF. 4. The Proponent presented and discussed acid and metalliferous drainage (AMD) considerations, including PAF and NAF material behaviour and expected pit lake water quality outcomes. 5. The Proponent presented and discussed the ecological risk assessment for the pit lake.	
15 August 2025	CLH Meeting	- Proposal update - Proposed infrastructure on Martu Ngurra (Karlkayn Airstrip; and Rimfire Borefield and pipelines) - Karlkayn Agreement - VIA - Water update - Environmental update	1. The Proponent provided an update on the water studies for the Proposal, including an illustration showing the extent of the drawdown from the pit dewatering and Rimfire aquifer.	1. JYAC emphasised the importance of water and asked the Proponent to confirm that the two aquifers were not connected, and that Rimfire would not be drawn down completely. The Proponent advised that the water studies show that the two aquifers are not connected. The Proponent provided an update on the requirements for the Proposal and what is being included in the ERD. The Proponent committed to ongoing engagement with JYAC if water requirements change. 2. Resolution passed by JYAC CLH to authorise the JYAC board to negotiate and finalise the terms of the Karlkayn Mine Infrastructure Agreement; require the Board to take the draft CHMP to the right Martu People for feedback and comment; and if the JYAC Board then considers it is the best interest of Martu, enter into and implement the finalised Karlkayn Mine Infrastructure Agreement.

Stakeholder Consultation Register: Other Stakeholders

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
Director of Development Services, Shire of Broome (SoB)	28 May 2024	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent advised that logistics studies are still being considered regarding shipping from Port Hedland or Broome. 2. All discussed that whilst the Proposal is situated within the Shire of East Pilbara (SoEP), there is an 8km section of Nyangumarta Highway that falls within the SoB. 3. The Proponent advised that a decision regarding the type of trucks used to transport product is still pending, however it's expected that the logistics company would hold all necessary licences / approvals to transport copper concentrate.	1. SoB queried if the Proponent had considered the cumulative impact of truck movements to the GNH and also localised roads in Broome. The SoB advised that the main road into Broome and road to the Port is within MRWA's jurisdiction, however, if trucks deviated off these roads for laydown areas, fuel etc. then they fall to SoB. The SoB stated that MRWA needs to improve the quality and safety of a number of roads in the region and in particular a known black spot at intersection of Old Broome Road and Gubinge Road. 2. SoB advised that they are currently not conducting any maintenance on the area of the road associated with the Proposal. 3. SoB recommended the Proponent consider the use of quad trucks as they are safer for the built-up areas within Broome and were currently being used by Kimberley Mineral Sands (KMS). 4. The Proponent and SoB committed to quarterly catch ups and Council briefings at set intervals.
CEO, Kimberley Ports Authority (KPA)	29 May 2024	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent advised that logistics studies are still being considered regarding shipping from Port Hedland or Broome.	1. KPA advised that the Port of Broome would welcome the Proponent shipping via Port of Broome or Kimberley Marine Supply Base. KPA queried if the Proponent had explored Port land for laydown areas etc. The Proponent advised that the transport logistics company would be contracted to transport concentrate to the Port, hold all relevant licences/permits and be responsible for laydown yards. Note: current product logistics planning proposes the use of a third-party staging facility at Port Hedland with shipping occurring from Port Hedland, however this is to be confirmed.
Owner, Sandfire Roadhouse	30 May 2024	Face-to-Face meeting	1. Discussion regarding the Proponent establishing dedicated fuel tanks along Nyangumarta Highway on Wallal Station for the construction period.	1. Sandfire raised concerns about the Proponent establishing its own fuel tanks and the negative

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				economic impact of not purchasing fuel from Sandfire Roadhouse. The Proponent acknowledge the short-term concern but indicated continuing usage of Sandfire Roadhouse accommodation, fuel and consumables can still be expected given the length of the access road and the ongoing works and through traffic that will be required once construction is complete.
Pilbara Ports Authority (PPA)	12 June 2024	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent toured Lumsden Point and reviewed shed availability and port infrastructure. The PPA provided proposed design and rendered images of Lumsden Point. 2. The Proponent advised it is still in the pre-feasibility stage of the Proposal, with logistics still being finalised regarding how concentrate will be exported. 3. The Proponent advised a formal logistics study will be produced regarding exporting from Broome or Port Hedland; regardless of the location a licenced third party would transport the concentrate to the Port.	1. PPA advised there is an opportunity for the Proponent to design the Port / Shed to meet requirements for the Proposal. The PPA advised they are working with MRWA on upgrading / changing the road design into Lumsden Point, with a new roundabout being built which will allow trucks to drive both ways on to GNH. 2. PPA advised that if first ore is not expected till 2030 then they recommend bulk handling (not rotator boxes). 3. PPA advised that all customers will operate under the PPA environment licence and that all products that come through Port Hedland are bio-screened.
Town of Port Hedland (ToPH)	12 June 2024	Face-to-Face meeting	1.ToPH noted and understand that the Proponent is socially minded and queried influence of contractors. ToPH is having environmental issues with contractors who are operating 24 hours, 365 days of the year resulting in the degradation of the urban landscape. 2. ToPH queried discussions with PPA and availability to export from Hedland.	1. The Proponent advised that they are aware of this and do not want to contribute. The Proponent committed to consulting with stakeholders on contractors and will ensure that scopes of work have clear expectations. The Proponent also advised working with NWAC on the installation of a weed wash facility to mitigate weed infestation of pristine area. 2. The Proponent advised the PPA have confirmed availability and would be seeking a commitment from the Proponent. The Proponent advised still working through the pre-feasibility phase of the Proposal and logistic study still to be completed.

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			3. The Proponent and ToPH discussed Proposal timeframes and ongoing engagement with the ToPH.	3.The Proponent committed to quarterly meetings with the ToPH and ongoing briefings to the elected members on the Proposal.
Main Roads WA (MRWA)	12 June 2024	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent advised still working through options for Nyangumarta Highway (including unsealed new road or upgrade of current road). 2. The Proponent discussed Nyangumarta Highway options and potential shared road with BP. The Proponent advised that Nyangumarta Traditional Owners are included in these discussions. 3. The Proponent and MRWA discussed the turn-off requirements from GNH (e.g. turning lane, sealing). 4. Discussion on fatigue management and rest area upgrades with MRWA querying if these had been considered. 5.Discussion regarding logistics to Broome or Port Hedland. Weather and floodplain risks for Broome route noted.	1. MRWA confirmed no formal requirements on private roads (Nyangumarta Highway, Wirikirr, Wirikirr Road and Copperhead Road) but offered guidelines. 2. The Proponent committed to continued discussions with BP regarding potential shared roads and infrastructure and keeping MRWA informed. 3. MRWA provided the following initial feedback (subject to confirmation) regarding GNH turnoff: • Channel right turning pocket with formal turning lane will be required. • Sealing of a portion of the Nyangumarta highway as it approaches GNH is required to prevent rubble/debris spilling onto GNH. • Acceleration lane may not be required. 4. The Proponent confirmed this would be considered and MRWA provided some guidance on requirements for LV and HV parking bays. 5. MRWA advised the MRWA Pilbara boundary is Sandfire Roadhouse and the Proponent will need to liaise with Broome MRWA (based in Derby) if trucking to Broome. 6. The Proponent and MRWA committed to quarterly catch ups.
Kimberley Ports Authority	5 March 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent advised that logistics studies, including supply chain was not yet confirmed and that a decision would be made during the Feasibility Stage. The Proponent noted the increased tonnage has increased the number of truck movements from approx.. 20 to 25 per day.	1. KPA has capacity to support the Proposal but is pragmatic about any potential future opportunities for the Port of Broome.
Kimberley Land Council (KLC)	6 March 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent advised the Texas borefield had been removed from the Proposal due to potential impacts to Night Parrot habitat. 2. Discussion regarding engagement with other native title holders.	1. KLC interested to hear of night parrot detection and positive that the Proponent had taken steps to protect the habitat by removing the Texas Borefield. 2. KLC advised that the Karajarri People were particularly interested in whether the Proponent

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				was conducting any testing/modelling of sub-surface water due to aquifers being connected and tied to songlines. The Proponent confirmed engagement with KTLA as representative of Karajarri Peoples.
Kullarri Regional Communities Indigenous Corporation	7 March 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent advised the Texas borefield had been removed from the Proposal due to potential impacts to Night Parrot habitat.	1. KRCIC was interested in the discovery of the Night Parrot and pleasantly surprised that the Proponent had removed the Texas Borefield to protect the Night Parrot and its habitat.
Pilbara Ports Authority	13 March 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1.The Proponent advised that logistics studies are still being considered regarding shipping from Port Hedland or Broome.	1. The Proponent committed to engaging with PPA during the logistics study and when a decision is confirmed.
Town of Port Hedland (ToPH)	14 March 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1.The Proponent advised that logistics studies are still being considered regarding shipping from Port Hedland or Broome.	1. ToPH advised support for the Proponent to use the Town of Port Hedland's Port for the Proposal.
Main Roads WA (MRWA)	14 March 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1.The Proponent advised that logistics studies are still being considered regarding shipping from Port Hedland or Broome. 2. Discussion regarding Proposal timeframes and whether there would be an increase in truck movements during construction. 3. Discussion regarding turn off from GNH onto the Nyangumarta Highway.	1. The Proponent advised the Proposal's base case is shipping from Port Hedland at Lumsden Point but remains an ongoing discussion point with the PPA. 2. The Proponent advised that construction is expected to take ~3 years and confirmed there would be increased truck movements during this period. The Proponent advised the road design and intersection planning considers the operational traffic requirements and committed to working with MRWA to understand the construction traffic management processes required for the construction phase. 3. When discussing the turnoff on GNH, MRWA advised: • Not supportive of two separate intersections in close proximity and advised the preference is for the Proponent and BP to collaborate on a single entry point. • No concern with the intersection being at the current location within the Proposals Development Envelope. • The Proponent requested MRWA review the need for an acceleration lane given the increase in trucking movements.

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
Shire of Broome (Administration)	18 March 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent advised that logistics studies are still being considered regarding shipping from Port Hedland or Broome.	1. SoB raised concern about additional large trucks travelling through Broome and the cumulative impact this will have on road infrastructure. The Proponent committed to ongoing engagement with the SoB as logistic studies continue.
Anna Plains Pastoral Station (APPS)	7 April 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent provided a Proposal overview.	1. APPS expressed interest in the Proposal's water requirements, usage and where it comes from. APPS commented that it was confident that the Proposal will not impact the Station's water allocation.
Wallal Downs Pastoral Station (WDPS)	8 April 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent advised that the Wallal borefield had been removed from the Proposal due to its proximity and potential impacts to Eighty Mile Beach and Walyarta Marsh. 2. Discussion on RAC.	1. WDPS shared that Wallal Downs Pastoral Lease has an allocation for 12-14 GL of water from the Wallal aquifer and that another Proponent had a substantial allocation (15 GL), which is impacting WDPS. 2. The Proponent committed to regular engagement with WDPS and providing an update on road designs once finalised.
Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park (EMBCP)	8 April 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. Discussion regarding potential impacts to EMBCP due to increased trucks along GNH.	1. EMBCP queried how the Proponent would ensure safe interactions between trucks and other road users, particularly vehicles towing caravans in peak holiday periods. The Proponent advised: • that all drivers need to adhere to the Proponent's HSEC Policies. • the GNH and Nyangumarta Highway intersection will be constructed to MRWA standards. • there is no expectation that large trucks would operate in a convoy increasing overtaking risks for vehicles towing caravans. 2. EMBCP were happy with the information provided and do not have any concerns with the Proposal. The Proponent committed to regular engagements with EMBCP.
Shire of East Pilbara (SoEP)	21 May 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. Discussion on Traditional Owner engagement. 2. The Proponent provided information on plans for the future workforce wellbeing, camp design and contractor integration.	1 & 2. SoEP acknowledged the Proponent's approach to Traditional Owner engagement and camp co-design and encouraged the Proponent to maintain focus on workforce wellbeing and community integration. 2. SoEP raised interest in social cohesion, local benefits, and potential future collaboration and partnerships (e.g. industrial tourism, ranger collaboration, regional employment).

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			3. Proponent sought guidance from the SoEP officer responsible for planning, building licences and extractive industries licence on their requirements for the secondary approval processes for the Proposal.	3a. SoEP confirmed that building licenses required for “residential buildings” or ancillary buildings associated with residential facilities. 3b. SoEP confirmed that Proposal is exempt from planning requirements and extractive industries licence.
Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER)	23 July 2025	Virtual Teams Meeting	1. The Proponent proposed that there should be new sub licencing area/s created for the Proposal as the required water licence areas are not within the Canning – Wallal licenced area.	1. DWER to provide follow up information on the creation of a new aquifer / groundwater sub area for the Proposal, which would be considered on the basis of hydrogeological reports provided on the metasediment aquifers found at the Proposal. 2. Subsequent to meeting, DWER requested that the Proponent submit the required water licence applications for initial DWER assessment.
Main Roads WA (MRWA)	7 October 2025	Phone call & follow up email	1. Proponent requested MRWA to review initial design sketches of the GNH and Nyangumarta Highway.	1. MRWA advised Proponent to complete “Application Form for New Access” to enable MRWA to assess and advise design requirements.
BP/InterContinental Energy (ICE) – AREH Proposal	9 October 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. When discussing Proposal status and timeframes, ICE indicated they are interested in exploring shared infrastructure between the Proposal and the AREH Proposal.	1. The Proponent and ICE committed to exploring shared infrastructure opportunities.
Groundwater Consulting Services (GCS), Pardoo Station, WDPS and Warrawagine Station	17 October 2025	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The pastoral stations outlined their respective operations, historical water usage, concern about additional water allocations in the area and re-inforced their reliance on their water allocations from the Canning-Wallal licenced area.	1. The Proponent confirmed that the Proposal only seek to obtain temporary water licences within the Broome and Canning-Wallal licence areas for the access road construction followed by a small ongoing water licence for operational use. All water requirements for the Proposal are being obtained from pit dewatering and the rimfire borefield. 2. The pastoralists confirmed that based on this information, they did not expect any impacts from Proposal on their operations. 3. The Proponent and the pastoral stations committed to regular engagements to share information.
Town of Port Hedland (ToPH)	18 March 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent provided an overview and update on the Proposal.	1. ToPH is supportive of the Proposal, particularly where it provides jobs, training, economic development and diversification in the region. ToPH advised they are developing a report in partnership with the Pilbara Development

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
				Commission on the cumulative impacts of projects in the region, including implications for housing, services, and workforce. ToPH will share this report once available and the Proponent will consider any implications from the Proposal.
Main Roads WA (MRWA)	18 March 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent provided an overview and update on the Proposal. 2. Discussion regarding turn off from GNH onto the Nyangumarta Highway. The Proponent reiterated that road safety is a key priority for both Traditional Owner groups and committed to ensuring safety is appropriately considered in the design and operation of the access road. 3. The Proponent advised an engineer has been engaged to undertake the traffic impact assessment (TIA) for the access road and intersection. 4. Discussion regarding traffic management requirements for the construction of the GNH and Nyangumarta Highway intersection.	1. The Proponent advised the Proposal's base case is shipping copper concentrate from Port Hedland; however, this remains under consideration as logistics studies are finalised. The Proponent committed to keeping MRWA informed as these studies progress. 2. In relation to the GNH and Nyangumarta Highway intersection, MRWA advised: - an acceleration lane (approximately 1.5-1.7kms) may be required, subject to vehicle movement volumes. The Proponent noted that construction activities are expected to result in higher traffic impacts, which will be considered as part of the assessment process. - if movements include a northern (Broome) direction, there may be a requirement to relocate the intersection slightly north due to sight distance constraints associated with a crest. The crest may also impact right-turn movements into Nyangumarta Highway. MRWA advised they will assess the Proponent's application based on the proposed access point, expected traffic volumes/sizes and intersection treatment design. 3. The Proponent to provide MRWA with traffic data from TIA to run simulations once available. 4. MRWA advised that the Proponent will be responsible for the traffic management during the construction of the intersection. Notification of roadworks is required to MRWA only.

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry (PHCCI)	18 March 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent provided an overview and update on the Proposal.	1. The Proponent advised the Proposal's base case is shipping from Port Hedland; however, logistics studies are still progressing. The Proponent committed to keeping PHCCI informed as these studies progress.
Wallal Downs Pastoral Station (WDPS)	19 March 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	2. Discussion regarding the access roads 3 The Proponent presented maps identifying aquifers and bore fields in relation to the Station.	2. Previous, historical discussions between Rio Tinto Exploration and WDPS regarding potential for 18kms of fencing each side of the Nyangumarta Highway when the road is widened to prevent vehicle impacts to cattle. The Proponent is keen for further discussions with WDPS on how best to mitigate beast strikes while considering the implications on native fauna and flora sustainability. 3. WDPS advised that water management is a key focus for Wallal Station. The Proponent acknowledged and committed to sharing relevant water monitoring data.
Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park (EMBCP) – Summerstar Tourist Park	19 March 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent provided an overview and update on the Proposal, including discussing the GNH and Nyangumarta Highway intersection. The Proponent queried ownership of water bore situated along GNH.	1. EMBCP confirmed they have the ground water license for the artesian bore at the laydown at entrance to turn-off towards 80 Mile Beach. EMBCP advised they maintain the road into Eighty Mile Beach and they use the bore for water trucks to maintain the road.
Rangelands NRM	17 April 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent provided an overview and update on the Proposal, including the partnership with Nyangumarta and Martu Rangers.	1. Rangelands NRM advised they parter with Rangers across WA. Rangelands NRM have been involved with the Pilbara Ranger Program from the start, working with Nyangumarta and Martu Rangers on a number of initiatives including the cane toad containment project. The Proponent committed to ongoing engagement with Rangelands NRM.
Shire of Broome (SoB)	30 April 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	1. Discussion of proposed truck movements if the Proponent ships concentrate from Broome.	1. The SoB noted previous community concerns regarding truck movements through town. The Proponent acknowledged and advised that a traffic impact assessment (TIA) is underway and committed to keeping the SoB updated as studies continue.

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
Kimberley Development Commission (KDC)	12 May 2026	Virtual Teams Meeting	1. The Proponent provided an overview and update on the Proposal.	1. KDC was positive to the Proposal however did mention the previous Broome community perception was concern for logistics and vehicle movements, with little understanding of the benefits to the region.
Mandora Station	14 May 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent provided an overview of the Proposal. 2. The Proponent provided an update on the water requirements for the Proposal, including the combined impacts of pit dewatering and the Rimfire Aquifer, the extent of predicted drawdown, and forecast drawdown over the life of mine.	1. Mandora Station is supportive of mining development, particularly where it creates local employment opportunities. 2. Water management is a key focus for Mandora Station. They advised that the station sources water from the Broome South Aquifer and are currently working with DWER to secure a water license. Mandora noted that water is their greatest area of concern and welcomed advice that the Winu and Rimfire Aquifers are not expected to impact the station. Mandora Station expressed interest in engagement between their hydrologist and the Proponent's hydrologist. The Proponent advised that Nyangumarta Traditional Owners had requested the installation of a monitoring bore to assess any potential impacts to Mandora Marsh. Mandora Station indicated they would be interested in the monitoring results. The Proponent committed to: • Sharing monitoring data once available • Providing regular updates • Facilitating introductions between Mandora's hydrologist and the Proponent's hydrologist • Sharing relevant water-related reports. 3. The Proponent and Mandora Station agreed to maintain regular engagement to support ongoing information sharing.
Shire of Broome Council Presentation	19 May 2026	Face-to-Face meeting	1. The Proponent presented to the Shire of Broome Elected Council an update of the Proposal and current timeframes.	1. The general sentiment from the SoB Elected Council Members was positive and they are keen to continue exploring opportunities for the Proponent to contribute to the Broome logistics hub.

Stakeholder	Date/s	Consultation Type	Summary of discussions relevant to the Proposal	Response/Outcome/Action items
			2. The Proponent outlined key environmental programs underway.	2. Discussion regarding ongoing environmental activities, including night parrot monitoring and feral fauna management. The Proponent advised that studies are ongoing and are being undertaken in collaboration with Nyangumarta and Martu Rangers, including opportunities for their involvement in monitoring activities. The Proponent also noted a cross-cultural knowledge exchange with Nyangumarta Rangers on feral fauna management, including the use of Felixer units as a mitigation measure.